



The Caleb Report

May-June 2000

Life Decisions International

vol. viii • no. 3

In this Issue: *Troublemakers: Kids Will Be Kids! / Not Catholic In Name Only / As Expected, Bush Is Moving Left / New Pro-Abortion Union Formed / Abortionist Draws Deadly Link / A Bigot's Soft Money / Organs From Russian Children / Quotable Quote / Pro-Abortion Author Honored / The Prayer Project / Key Speech / Reminder: New Address / Unlikely Story / Retaliation / Action Speaks Louder*

TROUBLEMAKERS: KIDS WILL BE KIDS!

Some young people just cannot stay out of trouble. Talk-show host David Letterman is fond of saying that the reason kids want to get out of school is so they can “cause trouble.” But Villanova University sophomore Chris Lilik is discovering that there is plenty of hot water to get into while school is in session as well.

Lilik is editor in chief of *The Conservative Column*, a campus-based newsletter that, well, is *very* conservative. The trouble started when his publication included a photograph of an aborted baby. Above the photo were the words “First Union Bank.” Below the photo were these words: “A Proud sponsor of Planned Parenthood and CHOICE! Turn Your Catholic Cash into Blood Money!” It was specifically noted that the satire was not an ad placed by First Union Bank.

The satirical “ad” was published after Lilik learned that First Union Bank has supported Planned Parenthood. What made matters worse is the fact that First Union Bank has a branch on campus. Translation: Villanova University, a “Catholic” institution of higher learning, has a direct relationship with a corporation that has funded the world’s leading pro-abortion group.

What was the University’s response to the “ad?” It confiscated the publication. A University official reportedly told the press that issue of *The Conservative Column* was being confiscated because it was not a recognized student publication and/or had no faculty advisor (no previous issues had ever been seized), not because of its content (which could sound a lot like censorship).

But the truth soon became clear. Tom Mogan, director of Student Development, left the following message on Lilik’s voicemail: “We obviously have some serious concerns about the content of *The Conservative Column*. Therefore, I will be removing all the issues of *The Conservative Column* that I see.” Mogan told *The Washington Times* that he made no such statement, but it was on tape so the denial fizzled.

Lilik claims the University worked behind the scenes to keep *The Conservative Column* from finding an advisor. “One professor I knew who had interest in being our advisor was flat-out told by his superior that if he wanted tenure, he better not sign up,” Lilik said. The University was willing to assign a liberal professor as advisor in an effort to “tone down” the publication.

Lilik also accuses the University of “harassing” its printer in an effort to make him cease doing business with *The Conservative Column*. This is most interesting since the publication is paid for with private, not University funds. The University’s tactics were temporarily successful and the printer did not continue the work.

The First Union Bank parody “ad” was clearly the cause for confiscation of the newsletter. But this was not a total surprise to the Bank. An article published in a previous edition of *The Conservative Column* was titled, “First Union Finances Abortion Industry.”

Lilik is not interested in legal action against the University. He is interested in justice. “First Union finances this country’s number one killer...If we can break ties with Adams Mark over alleged racist actions, why can’t we break ties with an anti-life company like First Union that finances the slicing and dicing of children of every color?”

In a letter to Lilik, LDI’s president, Douglas R. Scott, wrote:

Please allow me to take a moment to let you know what a remarkable job you are doing exposing First Union Bank as a supporter of the world’s leading pro-abortion organization—Planned Parenthood. Your work has put the pressure on the Company in a way no one else has been able to accomplish and we are grateful to you and the others at Villanova University who love and cherish human life, regardless of its age or size.

It is shameful that Villanova University would claim to be a Catholic institution while doing that which undermines pro-life efforts. Moreover, it is appalling that Villanova University would stoop to tactics used by totalitarian states to stop the truth from reaching the “unworthy masses.”

Your efforts are laudable. Not only do pro-life activists owe you a special “thank you,” all lovers of free speech do as well—whether or not they approve of the content of your speech. Keep up the great work. God bless you.

The University finally released the confiscated issue of *The Conservative Column* and agreed to an advisor. But its ties to First Union Bank continue and you can bet Lilik has not given up “causing trouble.” And we are happy to know that!

NOT CATHOLIC IN NAME ONLY

Father Robert J. Spitzer, president of Gonzaga University, created a controversy when he canceled a scheduled speech by a representative of Planned Parenthood to the women’s studies club. The student group had invited Laurel Kelley to speak on “reproductive freedom, clinic bombings, and the presidential election.”

Some argued that Spitzer’s action compromised academic freedom. But Spitzer said the decision had nothing to do with academic freedom. It had a lot to do with the University’s Catholic and Jesuit character. In a statement on the Gonzaga University Web page, Spitzer explained:

Our Guest Speaker Policy states, “The President reserves the right to deny usage of Gonzaga’s facilities to any person or groups of persons whose values are blatantly contrary to those of the University or whose presence would, for some reason, seriously embarrass or compromise the University.” In as much as Gonzaga is a Catholic and Jesuit University, and Planned Parenthood’s actions are blatantly contrary to this Catholic and Jesuit identity, I exercised my right as President to deny usage of Gonzaga’s facilities to them.

Those who know President Spitzer are not surprised by his actions. Spitzer takes both his faith and his role as president of Gonzaga University very seriously.

AS EXPECTED, BUSH IS MOVING LEFT

George W. Bush has angered some conservatives by becoming the first presumptive Republican presidential nominee in history to meet with homosexual leaders. *Prairie Flame*, a newspaper with a primarily homosexual readership, quotes Bush as saying, “I welcome gay Americans into my campaign.” Bush also said that if elected he would not allow sexual orientation to be a factor in hiring.

The meeting, which lasted nearly 90 minutes, was held in April 2000. “We went in with the hope and expectation that we’d raise his consciousness on gay and lesbian issues,” said David Catania, a homosexual activist who is also a member of the Washington, D.C., city council. “We did that, and more.”

In addition to Bush, the homosexual activists met with Karl Rove, the campaign’s chief strategist (who many believe is responsible for keeping Bush in the center on abortion), and Karen Hughes, the communications director. They also met with several members of the campaign’s policy staff. At the conclusion of the meeting, Bush promised the homosexual leaders another meeting. “We’ll do this again,” he said.

Meanwhile, President Bill Clinton said he expects *Roe v. Wade* to be overturned if Bush wins the White House. “If Governor Bush gets elected, he’ll appoint judges more like the ones appointed by the...Reagan and Bush Administrations. And if they get two to four appointments on the Supreme Court, I think *Roe v. Wade* will be repealed.”

This is clearly a Clinton scare tactic designed to motivate pro-abortion voters for his loyal vice president. Clinton surely knows that if it were not for the pro-abortion Reagan and Bush nominees to the Court, *Roe v. Wade* would already have been reversed.

“If the younger Bush were to make appointments that reversed *Roe*, his pro-abortion, establishment Republican friends would hang him from the highest tree,” said LDI’s Douglas R. Scott. “Besides, I see no reason to believe that Bush has any interest in taking any bold steps on behalf of the unborn.”

NEW PRO-ABORTION UNION FORMED

A coalition of radical groups has started “a counteroffensive” to the “five-year relentless legislative assault on the availability of reproductive health care [that] has created an access gap making even some basic medical care inaccessible to many women—especially low-income women, young women and women of color.” Campaign for Access and Reproductive Equity (CARE 2000), describing itself as “a new initiative uniting hundreds of national and local organizations from a broad range of disciplines,” was launched at a May 2000 news conference.

CARE 2000 will exist for at least two years and operate as a “grassroots, public education project” of National Network of Abortion Funds. It will “recruit, train and empower women across America to reduce barriers to health care and increase equality of reproductive health care services for all women. CARE 2000 will address an array of the factors contributing to the inequitable medical care for women of color, young women, and low-income women, including health care access, welfare reform, and sexuality education.” CARE 2000 begins in Washington, D.C., and Chicago, Illinois. It will announce start-up in three additional states in July.

Among the speakers at the news conference were “researchers” from The Alan Guttmacher Institute (an arm of Planned Parenthood), Marlene Fried of National Network of Abortion Funds, Susan Dudley of National Abortion Federation, Crystal Plati of Choice USA, Julia Scott of National Black Women’s Health Project, Eleanor Smeal of Feminist Majority Foundation, Gloria Totten of National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League (NARAL), “Reverend” Carlton Veazey of Religious Coalition for Reproductive Choice, and Nancy Yanofsky of Pro-Choice Resource Center.

“This is the usual group of people with the usual agenda,” said LDI’s Douglas R. Scott. “Only the name of the coalition and the date of the announcement are different.” Scott said the coalition is “simply after more taxpayer dollars utilizing its common ‘Chicken Little’ tirade. There’s nothing more to it than that.”

ABORTIONIST DRAWS DEADLY LINK

Henry Morgentaler, by far Canada’s most notorious abortionist, has argued that abortion benefits society by decreasing the number of unwanted children who are neglected or abused and grow up to become criminals. “There are now fewer unwanted children because women have had the option to abort unwanted pregnancies; consequently, there are fewer young men with a rage in their hearts who are prone to violence,” Morgentaler wrote in a letter to *The Toronto Star*.

Morgentaler said he has long believed that the availability of abortion is the major cause of the decline in crime in North America in the 1990s. He pointed to “research” by two Americans who concluded that legalizing abortion in the early 1970s eliminated many of the potential criminals of the 1990s.

The National Post, a Canadian newspaper, quoted Dr. Margaret Somerville of the McGill Centre for Medicine, who said that it is a small step from saying abortion reduces crime to advocating abortion to fight

crime. “To even say that abortion is that kind of remedy for crime is like saying if you have a sore toe, cut off your leg,” she said.

Morgentaler and the researchers who conducted the study say they are not suggesting abortion to fight crime, but are just asking that we look at what has happened over the years. The study by Steven Levitt, an economist at the University of Chicago, and John Donohue III, a professor at Stanford University Law School, concluded that legalizing abortion may be responsible for as much as half of the overall crime reduction the United States experienced from 1991 to 1997. They found that the timing of the crime drop coincided with the period roughly 20 years after the 1973 Supreme Court decision in *Roe v. Wade*.

Bob Glossop of the Vanier Institute for the Family in Ottawa expressed reservations about the correlation between abortion and crime. “It strikes me as profoundly difficult to document,” he said.

Morgentaler was not pleased with the *National Post* report and sent it the following letter:

Re: Morgentaler Credits Abortion for Reduction in Crime Rate (May 10). I take strong exception to the comments by Dr. Margaret Somerville, who under the guise of professional impartiality as an ethicist, proclaims rabidly anti-choice views. She is quoted as saying “while there may be some truth to the idea, it is a small step from saying abortion reduces crime to advocating abortion to fight crime. ‘To even say that abortion is that kind of remedy for crime is like saying if you have a sore toe, cut off your leg...’”

To all the detractors of my theory that access to abortion decreases crime I have this to say: A theory is either valid or invalid—none of those who ridiculed my theory or thought it was a prescription for killing potential criminals (how ridiculous!) had anything to say about the theory itself.

If the theory is correct it means that the decrease in crime will continue as long as access to abortion remains good. The fact that fewer unwanted children were born who may have been abused or brutalized has resulted in a drop in the number of young men with a rage in their hearts. The decline in crime has continued unabated over the last eight years and I predict it will continue.

We now know of the direct consequences of childhood abuse or neglect on the development of personality. Indeed, there is a growing public awareness that children given love, affection and a good home are more likely to develop into caring, responsible adults than those who have not been brought up this way.

It seems that those who oppose my theory believe that an embryo or a fetus is already a human being from conception, an absurd notion, and therefore regard abortion as abhorrent. That clearly colours their opinion.

In conclusion, what I am advocating is freedom of choice for women to choose abortion (or not) and pointing out that the availability of such a choice, and the fact that millions of women in North America have exercised it for over a generation now, has resulted in fewer unwanted and abused children, a decrease in crime and most probably a decrease in emotional and mental illness as well.

“What we see here is the justification de jour for killing people,” said LDI’s Douglas R. Scott. “The goal is to assure people that they will remain safe in their homes and communities so long as the abortion industry is allowed to continue filling its already huge pockets.” Scott pointed out that the so-called study said nothing about the many productive members of society who have been killed as well. “Besides, I thought most of these people opposed the death penalty.”

A BIGOT’S SOFT MONEY

The private foundation of R. E. “Ted” Turner, vice chairman of Time Warner Inc., has made a \$25 million grant to several pro-abortion groups. According to *Newsweek*, the grant is to “help identify pro-choice voters and train ‘activists’ in key states where Democrats are in tight races against anti-abortion Republicans.” The foundation has not publicly announced the grant. Yet a Foundation spokesman says the money is not intended for “‘political’ purposes.”

Turner’s foundation has a history of supporting pro-abortion and population control groups. In the May 15 issue of *The Nation*, reporter John Nichols praised efforts in Minnesota to kill legislation that would have required a 24-hour waiting period for an abortion and a conversation explaining abortion in “graphic detail.”

Nichols wrote that the Minnesota effort “drew on sophisticated lists of state abortion rights backers developed with a grant from the Turner Foundation.”

Grantees included NARAL, Planned Parenthood, National Abortion Federation, Zero Population Growth, Religious Coalition for Reproductive Choice, and National Family Planning & Reproductive Health Association. Last year, the foundation began giving grants to groups in eight states where abortion are especially “threatened.”

The Nation quoted Cecile Richards, who oversees the Turner abortion advocacy grants, as saying the Foundation puts “a special emphasis on helping groups develop the electronic tools necessary to contact people on their lists quickly and effectively.” Tim Stanley of Minnesota NARAL said “the Turner list made a huge difference. When an emergency arose, we were ready to move on it.” No such funding source exists for pro-life organizations.

ORGANS FROM RUSSIAN CHILDREN

Focus, a French weekly, reports that Germany’s secret service has learned that Russian gangs are murdering street children and selling their organs to rich patients in Russia and the West. A spokesman for the secret service, the Bundesnachrichtendienst (BND), confirmed the existence of the document, saying it had been submitted to the Government in April. She said the information gleaned was “credible.”

Focus said the report showed Russian police have been unable to stop the organ trafficking, adding that the disappearance of street children was not usually registered. But, over the past few years, police in St. Petersburg, Russia, have discovered the bodies of a steadily increasing number of street children with their internal organs removed.

Focus said the BND reported that a gang of doctors in Novosibirsk controlled a bank of frozen organs from children and young people, with false death certificates, sometimes giving the cause of death as the result of an accident during an operation.

QUOTABLE QUOTE

“I just want to urge people to not follow a knee-jerk reaction. This is tissue and cells that are going to be thrown away.”

— Michael J. Fox, the talented actor stricken with Parkinson’s disease, supporting fetal tissue experimentation

PRO-ABORTION AUTHOR HONORED

Oscar-winning author John Irving has received an honorary membership from National Abortion Federation (NAF) “in recognition of his longstanding commitment to safe, legal abortion for women and the sensitive portrayal of abortion in ‘The Cider House Rules’. One of our goals at NAF is to humanize both the women who choose abortion and the dedicated health care professionals who provide them with care,” said Vicki Saporta, executive director of NAF. “Through his work and his advocacy on behalf of a woman’s right to choose, John Irving has contributed to this mission.”

Saporta said the work “has had a tremendous impact on millions of people around the world, including the men and women who have dedicated their lives to providing safe abortion care to women. In order to open peoples’ minds you must touch their hearts, and John Irving has a rare gift for accomplishing both.”

Saporta said Irving “has been able to impact countless people around the world who may not have thought about abortion in a meaningful way until they came in contact with this story. For some of them, ‘The Cider House Rules’ may be the first exposure that they have had to the consequences of unintended pregnancy for women and for society. It is rare for a movie or novel to accurately portray abortion, and we are grateful to John Irving for devoting his time and talent to bringing us such a compassionate and compelling story.”

“Over the years, our members have told us the profound effect ‘The Cider House Rules’ has had on them and on the women they’ve cared for,” Saporta continued. “Some of our members have kept copies of the book in

their waiting rooms for their patients to read. Others...have been inspired to become abortion providers largely through reading the book. Still others...practiced medicine before *Roe vs. Wade* and can still recall the devastating effects of illegal, unsafe abortion.”

THE PRAYER PROJECT

Join us in daily prayer for these individuals: May—*Preston Manning*, leader of Canada’s Reform Party; June—*Jesse Ventura*, governor of Minnesota; July—actor *Michael J. Fox*; and August—Rep. *Tom DeLay*, R-Texas.

KEY SPEECH

In the January-February 2000 edition of *The Caleb Report*, we printed an unedited letter by presidential candidate Patrick J. Buchanan. We now print an article authored by candidate Alan Keyes. It is titled, “A Fateful Time for America”:

These are discouraging times to be a moral conservative and the temptation to turn away from politics is real and apparently growing stronger. Moral conservatives seem to be particularly tempted to turn away from Republican politics. I believe this would be a fatal mistake because, for all the halcyon calmness of today’s peace and prosperity, we are operating now in what I think is a fateful moment for American politics and history. It is a moment that will decide the fate of the Republican Party and will, in so doing, contribute greatly to deciding—for better or worse—the fate of liberty in this nation.

It’s time we saw what’s coming. The Republican Party is in trouble. Many people in its leadership do not understand the depth of that trouble and if they don’t wake up before it’s too late, the party will, in this election, be destroyed. The Republican Party leadership now closing ranks around George W. Bush appears to believe that it can abandon our national moral principles. They are attempting to jettison the pro-life plank and place a pro-abortion nominee on the national ticket, believing that the Republican ground troops and the American people overall will still support the GOP because it is the ‘lesser of evils.’

The correct word for this attitude is “presumption.” The Republican Party backroom strategists are presuming that the party’s conservative base will ultimately choose to seek political victory even at the expense of abandoning the deepest moral principles of American life. They may be surprised to find out just how stubborn a people of faith can be when its leaders attempt to lead it into sin.

I, for one, would rather merely watch the triumph of evil in sorrow than be implicated in helping bring about that triumph. There are millions of other Americans who understand that, whether as man or as citizen, our responsibility requires that we stand firm where God wants us to stand. If the Republican Party refuses to stand there, we will not join them in their abandonment of truth.

But this is just what people of faith have always been like. Why do the Republican bosses think they can convince us otherwise this time? One reason is that moral conservative leaders have encouraged them to think so. In fact, I will say bluntly that of the nationally known moral conservative leaders in America—those who are explicitly identified as prominent representatives of the moral conservative position on political matters—Jim Dobson is the only one left whose judgment I trust.

You can go right down the list of the others. Pat Robertson sold the Family Channel to the Fox Network and has, along with Jerry Falwell, announced his willingness to look the other way as a pro-abortion nominee goes on the ticket. Gary Bauer’s abandonment of principle in endorsing pro-choice John McCain remains incomprehensible to me but it remains equally undeniable. Republican Machiavellis looking for reasons to believe that they can abandon moral principle and still keep moral conservatives in the fold have received ample encouragement from “leaders” like these.

But it is to Dr. Dobson, who still represents the epitome of moral conservative integrity, that we should look for our example. He has made clear his unwavering resolve to stand where God wants us to stand, including to not support the Republican Party or vote for its nominee if the pro-life plank is gutted.

I know that fear of Al Gore is supposed to keep us on the reservation. We are told that if we only give-up a little bit of the truth and sacrifice a bit of principle we can get most of what we want. But the abandonment of principle will eventually receive its just recompense; the laws of nature and of a just God simply will not permit a people that has abandoned the discipline of justice to continue enjoying the blessings of liberty. The only thing that we will

accomplish by voting for a squishy compromise that has abandoned the truth is to stand on the day after judgment on the wrong side of God's hand.

We must believe that if we find it in our hearts to stand firm we will find also a strength sufficient to move this nation in the right direction. There is no other solution. Putting a pro-abortion on the ticket or weakening the pro-life plank in order to attract new voters to join the lukewarm Republican Party will not work. It will bring us instead the dual defeat of moral collapse and electoral disaster. And the only fruit of our willingness to "compromise" will be that, instead of being proud of upholding a standard that we trust will ultimately prevail, we'll just have to be ashamed of ourselves.

I'd rather stand for what's right—even if that cause temporarily loses—and wake-up the day after an election knowing that my soul is still in God's hands. That's an infinitely better course than to compromise what's right, lose anyway, and wake-up after an election having neither victory nor God's favor.

The truth we must face is that there is no lukewarm and comfortable way to stand within the tradition of the American Founders, who elevated our national politics by founding human justice on God's will. There is no merely expedient and safe way to embrace the great principle that our rights and dignity do not depend on human calculation but on our convicted willingness to act in faith on the truth that God is the source of right and dignity. There is no coward's way to turn to these truths and still receive the courage we need to stand together in their name and prevail. If we seriously intend to attempt the preservation of any of this patrimony of American liberty, then we must attempt it with a whole heart and with a whole will. Now, more than ever, it is up to people of faith to carry this wholeheartedness, in every way we possibly can, into the deliberations of our party.

Some will suggest that in speaking this way, at the moment of G. W. Bush's apparent triumph, I am somehow turning against the Republican Party. In fact, I speak from the deepest love of this party. I revere the tremendous role the Republican Party has played, from its very beginning, in helping Americans understand who we are and must be. Through Lincoln's wisdom, the Republican Party was born as a great national teacher of the supreme importance of the great principles of the Declaration. It has been one of the chief institutional defenders of the truths that define our national character and enable us to remain one nation in spite of our enormous diversity. I love the party that stands for these things in the same way I love my country—because each is, in its way, a providential vessel of the truths of nature and God that are the most important things in life. But that very love requires that I not stand silently by while those providential vessels are gutted of their soul. It is no act of affection to remain passive and silent while the one you love is lobotomized.

Everything I have heard about the preparations for the Republican Convention in Philadelphia tells me that it is fixing to go the wrong way. As things stand now, the convention this summer will be the scene of an attempted hijacking of the Party of Lincoln. That's why, however many delegates G. W. Bush has, all Republicans who care about the future of the country should spend the next few months working as hard as we can to make sure that the convention is not the disaster it is shaping up to be. We must do the work necessary to force the convention planners to respect our heartfelt commitment. The dedication of people at the grass roots to the conservative views and principles that are grounded in the bedrock truths of our nation's founding must be represented at the convention. If it is not, the party will not come out of Philadelphia alive.

Those of us who take pride in the moral conservative label need to remember now that at the heart of morality is virtue, and that virtuous people do the right thing consistently—in season and out of season. Virtuous people work hard when others feel like quitting. We need to show our virtue—our mettle—right now. We need to communicate with those wielding influence within the Republican leadership—at the RNC [Republican National Committee], in the Congress, in the campaigns of Bush and others. We must demonstrate that the moral conservative grassroots is watching and cannot be taken for granted. We will succeed only if we make the powerbrokers around Bush understand that if they abandon the truth, then, when the smoke clears in November, many millions of voters they are counting on will have abandoned them. We must make clear to them that a Republican Party, which abandons conservative principle, will never again win a major election in this country.

If we get this message across to them, we may be able to pull them back from the brink. If we soften it, they will think that we are signaling our intention to join them in the compromise of principle, which they so desperately want all of us to make.

Our battle is not just to save the Republican Party but to preserve a political instrument that is today probably the only one capable of the principled action on a national scale necessary to preserve our liberty. We must work to save the Republican Party from choosing the path of cowardly and senile self-destruction because we know that it has a higher calling—and can have a better destiny. The Party of Lincoln can once again offer the nation the

opportunity to return to the track of principle, which will secure our better future. That is why, however tempting it is to turn away from the distasteful task of demanding attention from the empty suits planning the Bush coronation, our humble politicking in the days to come can really make a difference in keeping alive the hope that liberty and self-government will still be the legacy that we leave for our children.

This article first appeared on Wired Net Daily. The only three fully pro-life presidential candidates still in the race are Keyes, Patrick J. Buchanan (Reform Party), and Howard Phillips (Constitution Party).

REMINDER: NEW ADDRESS

Our new mailing address: *P.O. Box 75161, Washington, DC 20013-0161.*

UNLIKELY STORY

Over the past several years, we have asked our friends to do business with Lifeline, a long distance telephone company that donates a percentage of its profits to pro-life organizations, including LDI. We are grateful to those of you who chose to support us in this way.

Unfortunately, we must now recommend that you consider using a different long distance company. Over the years, we have learned that Lifeline (Amerivision Inc.) charges relatively high rates. You can do much better with other companies and donate the difference yourself—giving *you* the tax-deduction. In addition, we have not been particularly impressed with Lifeline's customer service.

While this recommendation will surely cost us money in donations, we believe that we must be loyal to you—our faithful supporters. Moreover, our dedication to God-honoring fund-raising demands it. The decision is yours, but we believe it is our responsibility to let you know the facts about this matter.

RETALIATION

Readers of *The Caleb Report* and *Special Reports* will recall that LDI questioned Steve Ertelt's support of National Right to Life Committee (NRLC) Inc.'s lawsuit against American Right to Life. Ertelt, who runs an Internet service, has apparently not appreciated the stories.

LDI was once a recipient of Ertelt's almost daily e-mails. We have been cut off. LDI was also an organization featured as part of Ertelt's pro-life resource Website. Our name has been eliminated. (The site apparently consists only of those organizations in Ertelt's good graces, not those doing good and effective work.)

Ertelt contacted Molly Pannell, then-chairman of American Collegians for Life (ACL), asking that LDI's president, Douglas R. Scott, be reprimanded by the ACL Board. (Scott was a member of ACL's Board at the time.) Ertelt had previously criticized ACL for allowing LDI's president to speak at its conference. Ertelt also suggested that he could not advertise ACL events due to Scott's actions and the close working relationship between LDI and ACL. Pannell refused Ertelt's demands, finding that nothing inaccurate had been written by LDI. Moreover, she did not appreciate Ertelt's motives and ungodly tactics.

Ironically, Ertelt had once served as president of ACL, but was retroactively fired for insubordination. Ertelt is now an employee of Montana Right to Life, an affiliate of NRLC Inc. Sometimes there is a cost to printing the truth.

ACTION SPEAKS LOUDER

Please take the following steps:

- Write to Michael J. Fox and *kindly* encourage him to reconsider his position of fetal tissue research. Let Fox know you are praying for him. Write to: Mr. Michael J. Fox, The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, P.O. Box 2010, Grand Rapids, MN 55745-2010.
- Write to Rep. Tom DeLay, R-Texas, and ask why he is prohibiting pro-life amendments from being added to appropriations bills when he is allowing other controversial amendments. Delay also sides with President Clinton

and against conservatives on normalizing trade relations with Communist China: Hon. Tom DeLay, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC 20515.

The Caleb Report is published six times per year. Subscribers also receive *Special Reports*, which is published quarterly. A minimum donation of \$38.00 per year is requested. Send changes of address to LDI at P.O. Box 75161, Washington, DC 20013-0161.

To schedule a speaker call (202) 347-2066. Our e-mail address is: ldi-hq@usa.net. Thanks for your support!

This publication may be copied so long as it is done in full. It may be quoted so long as proper citation is provided with the quotation.

©2000 Life Decisions International.