



The Caleb Report

May-June 2001

Life Decisions International

vol. ix • no. 3

In this Issue: *Abortion Drug Advertising / Boycott Update / Quotable Quite / College Students Under Siege / Bush on Abortion / The Nazi "Solution" / Australian Leader Seeks Ban / Bearing False Witness / The Dancing Condom / Deadly Alliance Formed / The Prayer Project / "Youth Manifesto" From Hell / Communist Oppression / Economical Long Distance / Action Speaks Louder*

ABORTION DRUG ADVERTISING

The National Abortion Federation (NAF), an association of abortionists, will spend \$2 million on magazine ads to promote RU-486. *People, InStyle, Cosmopolitan, Self, Jane, Essence, Glamour, First for Women, Fitness, Health, Mademoiselle, Marie Claire, and Vanity Fair* have agreed to run the ads. Only *Redbook* has refused.

The ad shows a picture of a woman looking out a window. "You have the freedom to choose," the text reads. "And now, you have another safe abortion choice." The phone number for a NAF "information hotline" is provided. The ad refers to RU-486 (also called Mifeprex or Mifepristone) as an "Early Option" pill.

The Wall Street Journal quotes from an e-mail sent to NAF by *Redbook*. The magazine official said he wants to avoid provoking "negative reader and retailer reaction." *Redbook* said it "prides itself on an open dialogue about sex," but that it "must also walk a tightrope to satisfy regional mores that if engaged would negatively" impact its "business model." The spokesman said *Redbook* "enjoys the same rights as other magazines to carry—or turn down—advertising in its pages, depending upon whether the publisher feels it is appropriate...."

An action alert on the website of the Feminist Majority Foundation asks people to, "Tell *Redbook* that American women don't support censorship of abortion options." It further alleges that most women "in every region of the U.S. are pro-choice and nearly half of those will have an abortion some time during their lives" and therefore the magazine should be pressured to run the ad.

Distribution and marketing of Mifeprex in the United States is done by Danco Corporation, which targets its ads at doctors. The NAF ad is designed to create consumer demand for the drug. The ad is expected to reach 74 percent of women between 18 and 49 years of age. Ad production and placement will primarily be paid for with grants from private foundations.

Planned Parenthood of New York City committed 658 fetal executions with Mifeprex from December 2000 through April 2001. It spent more than \$125,000 to advertise RU-486 and "emergency contraception" on the city's subway lines and radio stations. One ad shows the image of a woman's hand holding a pill. The caption reads: "The choice is in your hand."

BOYCOTT UPDATE

Esprit and **PNC Bank** are no longer boycott targets. To date, a minimum of 77 corporations have ceased funding Planned Parenthood.

Unlike the decisions of **Esprit** and **PNC Bank**, the media has reported on **Target's** decision to stop supporting Planned Parenthood. *WorldNetDaily* says the action has "prompted a flurry of bad press and a firestorm among pro-abortion activists."

The media and pro-abortion leaders concede that Target's decision was made due to pressure from pro-lifers. In 1990, when Target Corporation (then called Dayton Hudson), cut off funding to Planned Parenthood, pro-abortion groups protested. Funding of Planned Parenthood was quickly reinstated and a boycott soon commenced.

While denying the boycott was a factor in the decision, WorldNewsDaily reports that Target has admitted it wants to stay out of the abortion issue. "It is not our intention in any way to take a stance on this issue," said Laysha Ward, director of the Target Foundation. "Our giving areas shifted...."

Now that Target has again stopped funding Planned Parenthood, pro-abortion activists have resumed their protest. The Feminist Majority has launched an e-mail campaign:

Subject: Take Action! Don't Support Target—They Don't Support Women!
To: Feminist Alert Newsletter
From: Jane at Feminist Majority Foundation

Now is the time for action! Tell Target you will not support them if they do not support women! Our voices and protests worked in 1990, make them work again!

Douglas R. Scott, LDI's president, recognizes a major difference between the 2001 decision and that of 1990. "Much of the 1990 pro-abortion protest was orchestrated by employees of the Dayton Hudson Foundation. It was largely a ruse. This time we have the decision in writing."

"This is a relatively small group of people who are telling Target Corporation that unless it funds their pet cause, the company will be punished," Scott said. "That sounds like extortion to me."

The boycott of **American Express** got a slight boost after LDI publicly urged several groups to stop doing business with the company. One of the offending organizations was Steve Ertelt's Women and Children First (WCF).

A WCF statement said it is "proud to support the ongoing boycott of American Express because it donates part of their corporate profits to Planned Parenthood." It was announced that WCF would no longer accept donations via American Express. WCF acknowledged that the company has been a "longtime contributor to Planned Parenthood."

While WCF encourages pro-life activists to "tear up their American Express credit cards, close their accounts and, most importantly...send a letter to the corporation to explain the decision," it did not state why WCF did business with American Express in the first place. Its leaders have been aware of the boycott and the company's association with Planned Parenthood for a decade.

"Boycotting everyone who donates to pro-abortion groups is virtually impossible," said Sally Winn, WCF vice president and Ertelt's wife. "They've tainted every supermarket isle and every major industry. We can't expect to be perfect pro-life consumers. However, we do need to take advantage of opportunities like this where the solution is so simple. American Express is not the only game in town, so let's send them a message and take our business elsewhere."

"It's unfortunate that WCF leaders offer excuses for themselves and others as it is not at all impossible to boycott every offending corporation. At the very most it is an inconvenience and a matter of will and commitment," said Scott. "It is also unfortunate that WCF has endorsed only the boycott against American Express and not the other corporations on *The Boycott List*. Furthermore, it is difficult to understand why

WCF's leaders did not act until their association with American Express was made public. Nevertheless, we are pleased to see Mr. Ertelt and Ms. Winn take this baby step."

The National Right to Life Committee Inc., and the Catholic Family and Human Rights Institute (C-FAM) were also challenged to stop doing business with American Express. (These two organizations have not yet accepted the challenge.) On the other hand, organizations such as Human Life International, American Life League, Pro-Life Action League, and many others do not accept American Express primarily—if not solely—due to its support of Planned Parenthood. Moreover, they have endorsed the boycott of *all* Planned Parenthood supporters.

Meanwhile, pro-life students at Villanova University continue the fight to get **First Union** bank off its campus. *The Villanova Times* reports that students have been unable to learn details about the association between the "Catholic" institution and the bank due to a confidentiality clause in the agreement between the two entities. "It is uncertain not only when Villanova University's contract with First Union will end," the newspaper reports, "but also just how the bank's donations to Planned Parenthood will factor into a new contract."

"This is an administrative problem that we, the students, can fix because we probably represent the majority of First Union customers at Villanova," said Villanovans for Life President John McMichael. "It is our responsibility to end our support of this abortion supporting institution in order to follow the teachings of the Catholic Church, upon which this university is founded."

Father Denis Wilde of Priests for Life and a Villanova professor told the newspaper that he is uncertain if boycotts are the best way for pro-lifers to fight Planned Parenthood, but he is disappointed that First Union has not agreed to stop funding the organization. "If I were a customer, I wouldn't look favorably toward a corporation that compromises its integrity by contributing to an agency such as Planned Parenthood," Wilde told the *Times*.

"Abortion by definition kills innocent children and harms women," said Wilde. "Apparently First Union sees no problem being an accomplice in that." (Wilde's comments are odd in that while the boycott may or may not be the "best way" to fight Planned Parenthood, it is a provably effective and easy way to do so. Moreover, Priests for Life has endorsed the boycott.)

Scott credits Villanovans for Life and student activist Chris Lilik for keeping up the pressure on the University and the bank. "These are remarkable young people who care about their faith to the point that it actually affects their daily lives."

In 2000, Villanova canceled a student event at the Adams Mark hotel at the last minute due to a student uproar over race-related allegations made against the hotel chain, *The Times* reports.

QUOTABLE QUOTE

"I think it's a step in the right direction, but I have my doubts as to whether kids will still come forward. In that kind of panicked state, it's almost like getting rid of the evidence. A baby can be dehumanized."

— Richard Seigel, Planned Parenthood of South Palm Beach & Broward Counties (Florida), referencing a new abandoned baby law (Minneapolis *Star Tribune*).

—

COLLEGE STUDENTS UNDER SEIGE

According to the Associated Press, the president of the National Organization for Women (NOW) has been touring colleges for many weeks, spreading the message that “abortion rights” are in jeopardy.

Pro-abortion groups argue that many college-age women, all of whom were born after the 1973 *Roe v. Wade* decree, need to be motivated to take a stand so the “right” is not taken for granted and summarily lost or restricted. Victoria Steinberg, a Harvard University senior, is co-leader of a campus pro-abortion group. “With a second Bush in office, students my age are afraid for the very first time that they will lose these rights if they don’t raise their voices,” she told the news service.

NOW’s president, Patricia Ireland, has met with students on college and university campuses, warning of actual and possible moves by the Bush Administration and Congress to limit access to abortion and birth control. “They are so shocked when they learn some of the details,” Ireland said. “I want them to think of these as fundamental rights that, when threatened, need to be defended.”

The targeting of college students by pro-abortion groups is not a new strategy. Mattei Radu, president of American Collegians for Life (ACL), is convinced that it will soon become the abortion industry’s most critical focus. “With polls showing that an increasing number of college students are expressing discomfort with abortion-on-demand, pro-abortion activists see the need to indoctrinate young people with their philosophy,” Radu said. “We will continue to counter their message of lies and death with one of truth and life.”

BUSH ON ABORTION

While a candidate for president of the United States, George W. Bush said he opposed abortion and pledged to promote a “culture of life,” reports *USA Today*. Bush made virtually no specific promises to pro-life voters, but he received their overwhelming support.

On his first day as president, Bush issued an order ending federal funding for overseas pro-abortion groups. Most pro-life activists applauded the action, while those in the Pro-Abortion Movement decried it. However, White House aides say both sides are “overreacting.” Bush has no intention of letting his presidency become “mired in controversy,” or of “jeopardizing his chances at re-election” over the abortion issue, the newspaper states.

USA Today theorizes that in order for Bush to win a second term, he may need to earn more support from women. In addition, Bush cannot risk major political defeats in Congress by alienating pro-abortion Republicans—particularly in the Senate where he needs every vote he can muster.

When asked where abortion ranks among the President’s concerns, Andrew Card, White House chief of staff, said Bush’s public policy priorities are education, tax reform and tax reduction, reforming Social Security, reforming the Medicare system and improving our national defense,” not abortion. Yet Bush has said he will sign several pieces of pro-life legislation currently under consideration by Congress, but as he did as governor of Texas, Bush will not take a leadership role.

Of course, pro-abortion groups are now using Bush’s stated pro-life conviction, regardless of how strong it is or is not and whether it will impact public policy, to activate their supporters and raise funds. “They use the usual ‘the sky is falling’ scare tactic,” said LDI’s president, Douglas R. Scott.

Kate Michelman of the National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League (NARAL) says, “Bush does not appear to be zealously in pursuit of the overturn of *Roe v. Wade*.” Yet she says Bush may nominate anti-abortion justices to the Supreme Court. If so, NARAL is prepared to intensify its four-year, \$40 million campaign to pressure the Senate not to confirm such nominees.

Ann Stone of Republicans for Choice told the newspaper that Bush cut off funding to overseas pro-abortion groups to “placate the right wing of the party.” But Stone disagrees with Michelman’s prediction that Bush will nominate an anti-*Roe* Supreme Court justice. “They’re not stupid,” Stone says of the President and his advisers. “They want to be re-elected.”

The Associated Press reports that Bush is focusing on policies that can actually pass Congress, such as a ban on “partial-birth” abortions, even though there is a good chance it will be declared unconstitutional in light of *Roe*. But Bush says it is “unrealistic” to think Americans are ready to outlaw abortion. He says people have to understand “the preciousness of life” before that can happen. Bush has given no plan to enable people to obtain this understanding.

THE NAZI “SOLUTION”

James Watson, the “father” of DNA science, has called for the law to be changed so that scientists can alter the genes of sperm, eggs and embryos and in so doing rid genetic defects from future generations. Watson, who with Francis Crick shared a Nobel Prize for the discovery of the DNA double helix in 1953, says that fears over the creation of “designer babies” are misplaced and that the potential benefits of controlling the ultimate engine of human evolution far outweigh the risks.

Altering the genes of sperm, eggs and embryos so-called germ-line gene therapy is outlawed in many countries, including the United States and Britain. There are also ethical and moral concerns about tinkering with human DNA to improve a family’s genetic stock either by eliminating “bad” genes or introducing “good” ones. Critics say it raises the specter of eugenics, as practiced by the Nazis, *The Independent* reports.

“I strongly favor controlling our children’s genetic destinies,” writes Watson, who played a key role in the human genome project and is president of the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory in New York. “Working intelligently and wisely to see that good genes dominate as many lives as possible is the truly moral way for us to proceed.”

The philosophy is not limited to those in the field of science. *The Seattle Times* reports that eighth-grader James Humphrey won a letter-writing contest with his piece supporting abortion of the disabled. A student at the International School in Bellevue, Washington, Humphrey believes abortion should be a guaranteed right. In a letter to Congresswoman Jennifer Dunn, R-Washington, he asked for her help to permanently legalize abortion so that parents would have the right to abort unborn children who, through genetic screening, are found to have severe illnesses or disabilities.

Humphrey’s letter was selected from more than 10,000 entrants nationwide as part of a letter-writing contest that encourages young people to speak out. Students wrote on various political topics. Winners were reportedly selected on clarity of thought, sincerity and originality, not the positions they took. (Would this be true if the writer were defending racism or child abuse?)

The 14-year-old says his strong feelings come from living with a severely autistic brother and recently losing a friend to a rare brain disorder. He says he has had to reiterate “constantly that I don’t mean my brother never should have been born—he’s a great kid,” Humphrey said. “But the only reason we’re able to give him all the therapy and medication and attention he needs is because my dad is a doctor and he works long hours to make enough money for all of it. What about all those families who can’t afford it, or for those who know it would be too hard? They should have a choice.”

Humphrey was among 51 students—one from each state and the District of Columbia—to attend the 13th annual National Youth Forum in Washington, D.C.. The students met with their respective members of

Congress to learn how to better communicate their messages to lawmakers. The Lutheran Brotherhood, a financial-services group, sponsors the program.

Humphrey is already using the usual mantra. He does not want to push anyone into his way of thinking. Humphrey says he just wants families to have a choice. The good news is that he is young and may someday arrive at a different conclusion.

AUSTRALIAN LEADER SEEKS BAN

Australian Prime Minister John Howard has asked for a nationwide ban on human cloning, but scientists are urging him to avoid “strangling future research,” reports Reuters News Service. Howard wants uniform national laws to ban all aspects of human reproductive cloning by mid-2002. An attempt last year resulted in just three states passing the required laws and new gene technology laws do not cover human cloning.

Howard wrote to the leaders of Australia’s states and territories asking them to back his stance at a Council of Australian Governments meeting that took place on June 8, 2001. Howard said he would ask that “a uniform position...a total prohibition on human cloning” be developed. A parliamentary committee is now examining the legal, scientific and ethical issues arising from human cloning.

Australia signed the Universal Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights, which states that human cloning is not permitted. But scientists have asked the government to follow Britain’s lead and allow research on human stem cells. “I hope the legislation is very carefully worded to ensure reproductive cloning, which involves putting an embryo into someone, is made illegal but it still allows stem cell research,” Professor Bob Williamson, a fellow of the Australian Academy of Science, told Reuters.

In January 2001, Britain became the first country to allow the use of human embryos in stem cell research. But some politicians have called on the Australian government to freeze all human cloning research, including that conducted on stem cells, because it involves the killing of unborn children. “I am totally opposed to the cloning of human beings involving embryonic human beings,” said Senator Brian Harradine. “Once you go down that track you are tinkering with the very essence of life.”

Kevin Andrews, a member of the Australian House of Representatives, also opposes cloning. Andrews is a member of Australia’s ruling Liberal Party and LDI’s Board of Advisors.

One should not assume that Howard is pro-life. It was he who snubbed a request of Steve Forbes that Australia grant sanctuary to Chinese women who were threatened with forced abortion. Howard’s response to Forbes was essentially that the American businessman and then-presidential candidate should mind his own business and stay out of the internal affairs of a sovereign state.

BEARING FALSE WITNESS

Minnesota Citizens Concerned for Life (MCCL), Steve Erelt’s Pro-Life InfoNet, and several other groups have issued a warning to pro-life supporters against donating to Elect Life. According to MCCL, Elect Life has raised more than \$3 million from “unsuspecting pro-life families” via telemarketing calls that sometimes are “pressuring or offensive.”

Elect Life claims to both support pro-life candidates and to be working in Congress on a partial-birth abortion ban, but MCCL alleges neither is true. According to documents filed with the Federal Election Commission, Capital Communications, a telemarketing firm, kept 94 percent of the money. (This is not that unusual due to costs associated with “cold-calling.” The use of the subsequent “hot list” generally produces results that are better for the client.)

MCCL claims that several pro-life organizations nationwide have reported calls from their members who say the same telemarketing firm has solicited funds for the Pro-Life Campaign Committee. Telemarketing scripts and materials mailed to those making pledges over the phone are nearly identical those used for Elect Life and other groups.

MCCL and Pro-Life InfoNet allege that no primary organization in Washington, D.C., has heard of the Pro-Life Campaign Committee or seen evidence of its work. Several dominant groups say donations to their “legitimate” groups have been affected and “the work that could be done to protect unborn babies from abortion is severely hurt.”

MCCL encourages those receiving a fund-raising call from pro-life groups to be wise and alert. Pro-Life InfoNet urges people to contact it or another “trusted” organization to determine the “legitimacy” of a group seeking support. “We can help you determine if a group is authentic and deserving of your contribution,” Pro-Life InfoNet said. Of course, this leaves Pro-Life InfoNet to define “authentic” and “deserving.”

It is important to know that MCCL is an affiliate of the National Right to Life Committee Inc. (NRLC), which has also charged that American Right to Life (ARL) is “illegitimate.” Ertelt serves as executive director of NRLC’s Montana affiliate. It soon became clear that those who had “territory” to protect or some other axe to grind defined “legitimacy.” Some of the same accusations made against Elect Life were thrown at ARL. The fact is that LDI proved ARL is indeed a valid organization, but one that would be a threat to NRLC because it would donate only to pro-life candidates who had no exceptions (see *Special Reports*, Autumn 1998).

The president of another NRLC affiliate, Right to Life of Michigan (RLM), attacked LDI for defending ARL. Once LDI further explained why it was defending ARL’s right to exist and the integrity of its leaders, the RLM chief was left with only one issue—she did not want ARL doing fund-raising in her state. Yet some NRLC affiliates opposed the actions of its national group and questioned both its motives and tactics.

NRLC mercilessly attacked Patrick Mooney, the founder of ARL. Mooney’s aunt is director of a Washington, D.C.-area crisis pregnancy center. His brother is a leading pro-life member of the Maryland State Senate. His uncle is the former mayor of Miami, Florida. Mooney is also a former letter-writer for Oliver North. In short, Mooney’s pro-life credentials are impeccable. Several pro-life members of Congress praised the work of ARL, but the organization is having difficulty financing a defense in the lawsuit and public attacks of NRLC. This is true even though many believe ARL will win on the merits of the case. ARL has filed a counter-suit.

So what about the allegations? “We cannot vouch for the most recent organizations to come under fire,” said Douglas R. Scott, president of LDI, “but we do know something about Elect Life.” Scott said it is “odd” that certain people choose to leave out the fact that Elect Life was founded by John Mawr, who was formerly with NRLC. Like Mooney, Mawr seeks to set up an alternative to NRLC. Unlike NRLC, the new organization would support only 100 percent pro-life candidates.

Mooney takes the blame for most of Elect Life’s woes. It was Mooney who referred Elect Life to Capital Communications back when he believed the company to be good and honest. “I wanted to leave the telemarketing company when it became clear we were being misled and cheated,” Mooney said. “We could not get information about the amount of money raised. We had to sue the company for our fund-raising list, but we believe it is still being used by Capital Communications even though we finally received a copy.”

Mooney regrets his referral to the telemarketing firm, but says that error should not tarnish the name of Elect Life. “I learned my lesson,” Mooney said, “but not in time to warn John Mawr. One cannot judge a pro-life group or the integrity of its leaders based on what a fund-raising company does, especially when the company is misleading the pro-life leaders.” (As our supporters know [and appreciate], LDI has not, does not, and will never use telemarketing for several reasons, one of which is the fact that it is impossible to control what the callers say.)

In the meantime, dominant groups fight to protect what they apparently perceive to be “their territory” and “their donations.” Thousands and thousands of dollars (or *much* more) of pro-life money is forwarded by NRLC to its law firm, and those under attack expend more money and time defending themselves against serious charges that are based on half-truth, innuendo or falsely drawn conclusions. All we can do is hope that, in the long-run, truth and justice will prevail and God will reveal which groups should be supported and which are not doing His work in good faith.

Scott supports “competition without a competitive attitude” because it can serve the Pro-Life Movement. “If LDI were supporting some small part of Planned Parenthood’s deadly agenda and another group came along that sought to oppose all of that agenda, the new group would have a right to make its case. That new group should not be shut down, silenced or face false accusations from LDI. If we’re effective and have nothing to hide, there is nothing to fear,” Scott said.

“We are saddened by the attacks on good people and by the fact that caring pro-lifers must endure such nonsense when they intend for their donations to support the goal of achieving a pro-life America,” Scott said. “Of course, since we are speaking out, there are surely groups that consider LDI to be ‘illegitimate,’ too, if they are the ones to define the term. LDI would not tell anyone who to give to or who not to give to. We will share facts—what we know. We are not about telling people what to do.”

“At the very worst, some pro-life people have made mistakes in how they started a new group,” Scott said. “Yet too many dominant groups are seeking to protect their financial base at any cost.”

THE DANCING CONDOM

Planned Parenthood Federation of America’s (PPFA) teen-oriented Website, teenwire.com, recently featured a “dancing condom.” The depiction was intended to promote a PPFA condom, which they claim will outsell other brands and generate nearly \$25 million annually.

PPFA tried to market its own brand of condom several years ago but the effort failed. There are no plans to offer information about failure rates to those who choose to trust their lives to a thin piece of latex.

DEADLY ALLIANCE FORMED

Planned Parenthood of Western Pennsylvania has joined with a financially troubled abortion facility to create a merged entity. *The Post-Gazette* reports that abortions continued “uninterrupted” at Women’s Health Services (WHS) throughout the transition period, which ended on April 1, 2001.

The new entity, Planned Parenthood/Women’s Health Services of Western Pennsylvania, is a subsidiary of Planned Parenthood. It hopes to maintain or increase the death center’s current fund-raising level of \$50,000 to \$100,000 a year.

“Planned Parenthood was the logical agency for us to partner with since we have had a long history of referring women to each other for various reproductive health services,” said Margie McGregor, president of WHS. Nearly 2,400 killings are committed at the facility each year, down from 6,000 a year in the late 1980s.

“Planned Parenthood...used to perform abortions one day a week, but stopped in 1992 because of competition from other providers,” the newspaper reports.

Kim Evert, executive director of the local Planned Parenthood, also serves as director of the abortion subsidiary. She said the decision to keep abortion “services” in a separate location was based on space rather than an attempt to insulate Planned Parenthood from protesters or abortion itself. The Planned Parenthood office and the abortion facility may eventually combine in one location.

THE PRAYER PROJECT

The Prayer Project encourages prayer for those in the Pro-Abortion Movement and those who have not been strong in their claimed pro-life convictions. Join us in daily prayer for: June—evangelist *Billy Graham*; July—First Lady *Laura Bush*; August—Rev. *R. G. “Pat” Robertson*; and September—Rev. *Edmund J. Dobbin*, president of Villanova University.

“YOUTH MANIFESTO” FROM HELL

International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF), known as an “apex” non-governmental organization at the United Nations (UN), is promoting what they are calling a “Youth Manifesto,” reports Pro-Life E-News. The manifesto is the blueprint both for the ongoing efforts of IPPF to promote abortion for adolescents around the world and the policies they will promote at the upcoming Special Session of the General Assembly on the World Summit for Children.

IPPF claims the manifesto “reflects what young people around the world view as crucial to meeting their sexual and reproductive health needs and rights,” but it was written by only 16 young people representing IPPF affiliates from various countries. The document was endorsed by a group of 43 young people attending the 1999 IPPF Youth Parliament.

The manifesto says young people must “feel good” and “have pleasure and confidence in relationships and in all aspects of sexuality.” It says that “sexual and reproductive health education” must be available to “young people of all ages and sexual lifestyles.” The manifesto also says “young people must be encouraged to know their own sexual rights” and “obstacles that make young people uncomfortable about themselves, their bodies and their relationships must be removed.”

The manifesto calls on the world’s youth to initiate campaigns in their own countries as well as lobbying their national governments to change domestic laws. It calls for youth to use the document that passed at the International Conference on Population and Development in Cairo seven years ago to press for legislative changes in support of abortion for the young.

IPPF youth have long been a presence at UN meetings, usually fully funded by various IPPF branches. IPPF youth present themselves to UN delegates as representing all the young people in the world. They are frequently closely guided and handled by IPPF staff members or employees of the UN bureaucracy, most frequently those from the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). Such radical youth are also allowed to speak from the main podium during UN conferences, again suggesting their positions represent young people.

COMMUNIST OPPRESSION

Associated Foreign Press reports that Communist Chinese birth control officials have beaten a woman to death. The government officials told Sun Zhonghua that she was being taken to the birth control center for sterilization, a procedure to which they had previously been pressing her to submit. She vehemently refused

and produced papers from a local hospital that showed the operation was not advisable for medical reasons. Despite her protests and those of her relatives, Sun was taken from her home.

In the afternoon of the same day, officials informed Sun's relatives that she had died after jumping from the fourth floor of the building housing the local birth control administration. Family members who were allowed to see Sun's body found large bruises on her head and other parts of her body.

"There is no way she could have received those injuries from jumping to her death," one relative told the news agency. Relatives wanted to report the incident, "but there was no one to report to."

As the mother of two living children, Sun knew that she must conform to national population policies and she did not plan to give birth to more children, a relative said. She had gone to the hospital every year since 1992 to make sure she was not pregnant.

"Let's not kid ourselves," said LDI's Douglas R. Scott. "There are many wealthy, influential people who want the same policies for the entire world, including the United States. We will all face this kind of tyranny someday."

Meanwhile, the *Taipei Times* reports that part of a "performance" by Shanghai-based artist Zhu Yu, entitled "Man-eater," includes the eating of babies. The Chinese-language press quoted Zhu as saying that he discusses life and death issues through his works. By eating six-month-old babies obtained from abortions, he claims to pose a challenge to traditional ethics.

ECONOMICAL LONG DISTANCE

Pro-Life Communications is a non-profit long distance company that gives all of its profits to pro-life groups. It can be contacted at 877-878-LIFE or www.prolifecomm.com.

ACTION SPEAKS LOUDER

Put your beliefs into action! Please take the following steps over the next two months:

- Write to four corporations on *The Boycott List*.
- Write to Rev. Edmund J. Dobbin, president of Villanova University (800 Lancaster Ave., Villanova, PA 19085), asking that he take whatever steps are necessary to remove First Union from campus.
- Write *Redbook* a letter of appreciation for refusing to accept the National Abortion Federation's RU-486 ad. Write to: Ms. Lesley Hearst, Editor-in-Chief, *Redbook*, 224 W. 57th St., New York, NY 10019.
- Educate at least one neighbor or family member.
- See "The Prayer Project" above.

The Caleb Report, an official periodical of Life Decisions International, is published six times per year. Send changes of address to LDI at P.O. Box 75161, Washington, DC 20013-0161. To schedule a speaker, call (202) 347-2066. Visit us on the World Wide Web at www.fightpp.org. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of every member of LDI's Board of Directors, Board of Advisors, or staff. This publication may be copied so long as it is done in full. It may be quoted so long as proper citation is provided with the quotation.

©2001 Life Decisions International.