



The Caleb Report

September-October 2003

Life Decisions International

vol. xi • no. 5

Rhetoric = Death / Death = Silence / And You Thought Ozzy Was Bad / Imposing Death By Starvation / The Prayer Project / Poll For Pro-Abortion Candidates / Quotable Quote: Fighting Words / In Your Own Words

RHETORIC = DEATH

Readers of *The Caleb Report* know that in many cultures throughout the world males are valued over females. We have reported that mothers in India are undergoing inexpensive ultrasound testing to learn whether an unborn baby is a boy or a girl. If the child is female the “solution” is abortion.

Even the pro-abortion television newsmagazine *60 Minutes* recently covered the story. “In India...a woman is generally acceptable as a bride only if her parents offer the groom’s family a sizable bribe, otherwise known as a dowry. It can cost her family as much as \$100,000.00.” Worse yet, it was reported that thousands of women have been murdered after marriage because they could not pay “extortionate demands that often come from their husband’s family.” Demanding a dowry has been illegal for more than 40 years, but the tradition is so ensconced that virtually everyone ignores the law.

It is important to remember that this is a place where marriages are usually arranged by parents. A family member of the bride, usually her father, will negotiate a dowry with the groom’s family. Even after a deal is made the groom’s family often makes more monetary demands of the bride’s relatives. And an Indian wedding rivals those of English royalty. They are seen as a way for the bride’s family to show off their wealth and status, which enabled them to “buy” the most sought-after man for their daughter.

The *60 Minutes* report, which actually referred to unborn females as “girl babies,” noted that one wing of Delhi’s maximum-security prison is reserved for those who violate the anti-dowry law:

All of them have been either convicted or accused of dowry-related crimes. Police here report that nearly

7,000 women are murdered every year and human rights groups report that the number could be as high as 25,000. All of the women have been killed by greedy husbands and mothers-in-law trying to squeeze more money out of the young brides.

A key reason for the high rate of female abortion is the desire to avoid the huge debts associated with marriage. So many girls have been aborted that it is causing a serious population imbalance. Among the wealthier and more educated classes male births outpace female by a two-to-one margin.

The problem eventually became so egregious that the Government banned prenatal testing for the purpose of determining the gender of an unborn child. But this has merely driven the ultrasound clinics to the “back alleys.” As Dr. Reicha Tanwar told *60 Minutes*:

We’ve had a number of sessions with a number of gynecologists, radiologists. And even though they know it’s legally banned, they have gone on record by saying that they have had cases of women come to their clinics—one single person, come to their clinics seven or eight times, to get an abortion done because it was a female child.

Tanwar said that in India people actually grieve when a female baby is born.

One way the wealthier class avoided the anti-dowry and anti-prenatal testing laws was to use invitro fertilization (IVF), which allowed parents to select an embryo to guarantee the conception of a boy. But this procedure has also been outlawed. Dr. Anarood Malpani, who operates an IVF office in Bombay, defends the practice and argues against the law:

I think it’s perfectly ethical and acceptable to use the technology. I think it’s actually unethical not to use it and to let someone else sit in judgment and say no

because you're an Indian couple you should not be allowed to have a boy. The whole point of living in a democracy is that you allow individuals to make decisions for themselves.

When the *60 Minutes* correspondent suggested that what Malpani was doing is wrong, the doctor used a familiar retort:

My point is that you're effectively saying, 'I am the right person to make that decision and that particular individual is too stupid to make that decision for herself.'

In other words, it is about "choice" and not imposing morality on others. Therefore, it is really not the business of anyone except the women directly involved.

The shortage of girls is already causing problems. The newsmagazine quotes Tanwar as saying that, "When these children come of marriageable age they are not going to find girls for marriage and life for a girl is going to be very difficult. They are going to be kidnapped, raped, picked up, sold, bought. I fear to think what will happen if this goes on." In truth such atrocious acts have already been reported.

The prenatal deaths of females and the archaic dowry system are not the only problems facing the women of the world. There is also forced abortion, sterilization and genital mutilation, including circumcision. And the pro-abortion *New York Times* reported on another horrific problem—"honor killings." Such acts are common in Turkey, as well as in other Muslim countries.

"Last month a woman named Cemse Allak was buried in a corner of a municipal cemetery here," began one report on the subject. Allak, "unmarried and pregnant, had died from a stoning." Villagers and local lawyers said that she, along with the father of the unborn baby, had been killed "to restore the honor of their families." Allak's brother and several of her other relatives participated in the stoning.

Some in Allak's family said she had been raped, while others said the sex was consensual. Most people in the region see little difference between the two acts. Villagers who conceded that Allak may have been raped also said she had still brought shame upon her family. "Rape is wrong in every case," said Baki Allak, a cousin. Nonetheless "the family was dishonored" and the appropriate action was taken. "Honor is not a trivial thing!" yelled Celilie Allak, the male victim's sister. "What else were we supposed to do?"

Some villagers where Allak resided said they were relieved by her death. If she had survived the family of the man who had been killed with her would have been obliged to take revenge on Allak's family, since it was her brother who was suspected of his death.

"When the girl Cemse died, the matter was closed," Shelalettin Cakar, a local farmer told the newspaper. "In such cases, if one dies and the other lives, it is not equal. So it was better for both of them to die." He said that if both had not died the vendetta would have gone on for years.

Allak remained in a semi-conscious state until her death seven months after the stoning. She had a crushed skull and was unable to move or speak. Relatives went to the hospital soon after the stoning, but only to tell the hospital staff that they could not pay for Allak's care. Upon Allak's death, a notice was placed in the newspaper. Under Turkish law a deceased person must remain unburied for as long as 14 days to give relatives time to claim the body. But the body went unclaimed and no relatives attended the funeral.

The stoning followed the "recm" tradition—a religiously sanctioned trial and stoning of a dishonored woman or man by an entire village. "For years, men—and only occasionally women—accused of killing their spouses or family members could invoke...the Turkish criminal code," which allows judges the discretion to reduce a defendant's sentence by more than 80 percent. But two days before Allak's funeral the Turkish Government passed a major human rights law that, among other things, abolished the provision of the penal code that allowed judges to reduce a defendant's sentence for killing in the name of "family honor." The bill was not passed because it was the right thing to do. It was "part of a broader effort to secure Turkey's long-hoped-for admission to the European Union and, more profoundly, to answer the centuries-old question of Turkey's place in the world: whether in Europe or the Middle East," the newspaper reported.

"Honor killing" is still a big problem. Just as in India, tradition is seen as more important than any law, especially when it is religiously based. Many people would gladly pay the penalty required by law in order to return "honor" to the family. Of course, a Muslim who committed an "honor killing" while in the United States would not succeed if he used a "freedom of religion" defense, right? Canada has already faced this situation.

Choice.

“Don’t impose your morality on me.”

Pro-woman.

Death.

DEATH = SILENCE

The Planned Parenthood hierarchy has never been known for shyness, but when 18-year-old Holly Patterson died after receiving mifepristone (formerly known as RU-486) at Planned Parenthood Golden Gate (San Francisco), its leaders suddenly clammed up. In fact, the local Planned Parenthood affiliate’s website includes nothing about the tragic incident. And a statement appearing on the website of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America is very short:

A patient who recently sought health care services at a Planned Parenthood health center died last...at a hospital in Pleasanton, California.

The cause of death is unknown at this time. The patient’s name is being withheld for purposes of confidentiality.

“We extend our deepest sympathies to the family,” said Planned Parenthood Golden Gate President and CEO Dian Harrison. “We wish them strength and support in this tragic time.”

Holly Patterson’s stunned father told *The San Francisco Chronicle* that, “The medical community treats this as a simple pill you take, as if you’re getting rid of a headache. The procedure, the follow-ups, it’s all too lackadaisical. The girl gets a pill. Then she’s sent home to do the rest on her own. There are just too many things that can go wrong.”

A spokesman for Pleasanton’s Valley Care Medical Center, where the teenager was treated following the botched abortion, said everything possible was done in the effort to save her life. The death was reportedly due to an infection caused by parts of the aborted child that were never expelled from Patterson’s body.

“At this point, we have seen no issue with the care and treatment she received here,” said Marcy Feit, the hospital’s chief executive officer. “We have not finished our [internal] investigation. We are still looking at it extensively.” At the request of the hospital the California Department of Health Services and the U.S. Food & Drug Administration’s Center for Drug Evaluation and Research are investigating both the drug and the resulting death.

Despite the fatality, Planned Parenthood continues to dispense the deadly concoction. Needless-to-say, informing the teenagers and women who take the

drugs of Holly Patterson’s death would only serve to “scare” them, so such information is not proffered.

In a case of bad timing, birth control groups in Great Britain are asking that the rules relating to the dispensing of mifepristone be relaxed. The British Pregnancy Advisory Service (BPAS), backed by the Family Planning Association, has asked the Department of Health to cut the number of times a person must see a doctor in order to complete the abortion. Dr. Donna Harrison, a Michigan gynecologist, told *The Daily Telegraph* that caution should be exercised:

Regardless of their views on abortion there are many doctors who are concerned about the safety of RU-486. There are so many things than can go wrong. There can be bleeding serious enough for transfusions to be needed. There is also a serious risk of infection. If you don’t want to see a Holly Patterson in Britain you should reject any moves to relax medical supervision of these drugs.

Mifepristone tablets are currently given under medical supervision. Two days later the recipient must return to the doctor for a second drug called misoprostol, which is supposed to ensure that her dead child is flushed out of the womb. BPAS wants both drugs to be dispensed simultaneously there is no need for a return trip to the doctor’s office. Such a rule change would likely lead to an increase in the numbers of women and teenagers taking the pills. The more required trips to the doctor, the fewer the people willing to go through the process. A medical check-up after the abortion would be *recommended* only.

George Neumayr, writing in *The American Spectator*, noted that Planned Parenthood’s website has a question-and-answer section on mifepristone:

“When can I have sex again?” is one question. Planned Parenthood’s answer: “one week after the abortion.” The site also offers a few breezy reminders, such as: “It’s important to remember that choosing medical abortion means that you will need to visit your clinician’s office more than one time.” That is, if you are still alive.

Holly Marie Patterson did not need a second visit.

AND YOU THOUGHT OZZY WAS BAD

IMPORTANT NOTE: This article’s fourth and fifth paragraphs include offensive text. Please exercise discretion.

Pledging to defy the St. Petersburg, Florida, city council and the courts, a member of the band Hell on Earth said they would carry out their plan to watch a fan commit suicide. City officials asked a circuit court judge for an injunction after the city council passed an

emergency ordinance prohibiting concerts from promoting or selling tickets to shows that include a person committing suicide. "We think it's the correct legal decision and it's also the right thing to do," Mayor Rick Baker told the Associated Press.

Despite the judicial order the band decided to commit the ungodly act at an undisclosed location with a few fans in attendance rather than the original plan which called for a more public and well-attended venue. Hell on Earth also planned to broadcast the suicide live, so to speak, over the Internet. The purpose of the "event" was supposedly to promote a "right" to suicide, but it may have been little more than a publicity stunt.

Band member Billy Tourtelot could not be located so the court order could be served. In addition, he defied a previous order to appear in court, for which he could be held in contempt. St. Petersburg police said they would monitor the band's website (hellonearth.net). At this writing it appears that the suicide did not take place as planned.

What is Hell on Earth all about? The band has released two albums. The first is titled "Biomechanical Ejaculations of the Damned" and includes songs such as (expletives are deleted): "Ripping The Wings Off The Backs Of Angels," "I Hope You Catch A.I.D.S.," "Toilet Licking Maggot," "H.I.V.+ **** The Dream," "Raped By The Virgin Mary," "Your Soul To Satan," "Baptized In Semen," "Deliver Me To Evil," "Sick ****," "The A.I.D.S. Infested Blood Of Christ," "**** That ****," and "Living Hell."

The second album is titled "All Things Disturbingly Sassy," and includes the songs "My Angels Are Demons," "All Hallow's Eve," "Witches' Brew," "Super Villain," "Vampire Christ," and "My God Is Heroin." These song titles say much about the band's members.

The website of a Hell on Earth fan, which can be found at geocities.com/comet_draven666 (the last three digits are not a coincidence), includes the lyrics of the above-named songs (not recommended—for *anyone*) and text from the webmaster that outlines his fascination with the band and its goals:

If a terminally ill person feels by showing his suicide to people who *choose* to watch will bring awareness of euthanasia, how is that wrong? I was emailed today [October 4, 2003] and told this was broadcast on the news today so [I] investigated what it was all about.

People have always taken music as a source of inspiration and sometimes taken it too literally. If that's

[sic] the case then *anything* could influence them. Im [sic] sure witnessing a suicide does not cause suicide in any shape or form. If it does, the thought and will was already there, waiting to happen.

My father was diagnosed with cancer and was fairly well in the beginning but for at least 12 weeks till [sic] the end he was in incredible pain. Watching someone suffer this way opens your mind to other options in life and death. An animal would not be allowed to suffer and before anyone bangs on about they cant [sic] tell you if they want to die would it make a difference if they could? My Dad didnt [sic] want to die, but he knew he had no choice so he wanted to be at peace. He wanted his family to be at peace. Not all death is dignified but there is the chance it could be. We had to fill him full of useless drugs to maintain any sort of life. When he died we were releaved [sic], how the hell are you supposed to deal with relief instead of grief but thats [sic] how we all felt. That was 9 years ago and I still have a clear picture of him screaming in pain.

Tonight, if this is real, if this person does comit [sic] suicide, those watching have chosen to watch. People will be there for all sorts of reasons, what they get out of it will be different for everyone. If you are terminal, you are dying, you cant [sic] be saved, but you can be helped.

I dont [sic] know if this is right or wrong but the person has the right to choose his own destiny and those watching have the right to choose to see. Its certainly wrong to slag someone off if they think differently than you. The saddest thing is tonight someone will lose there [sic] life for what? To be treated as a coward or an idiot or an exhibitionist but not someone trying to make blinkered eyes see.

I dont [sic] believe in any sort of god, but if someone is waiting when you die I hope they are there with open arms waiting for this person, they'll need a hug.

It is a tragedy that this writer's father did not have a good doctor. How this person feels is also a tragedy. And the biggest tragedy of all is that this person does not believe there is a God who cares. Please pray for the band members and their followers.

IMPOSING DEATH BY STARVATION

By the time you read this article, Terri Schindler-Schiavo, who suffered massive brain damage 13 years ago when she mysteriously collapsed, will have commenced—and maybe concluded—a court-sanctioned death by starvation and dehydration. The seven- to 14-day dying process was to begin October 15, 2003.

U.S. District Judge Richard A. Lazzara sided with Schindler-Schiavo's husband, Michael Schiavo, who wanted to withdraw the patient's nutrition and hydration, which have been deemed "medical treatment" by the American Medical Association. Lazzara rejected the pleas of the patient's parents, Robert and Mary Schindler, who sought an injunction to stop Schiavo from giving the order to kill.

For more than a decade the Schindlers' have been fighting Schiavo over the lack of care and therapy he has provided their daughter. A major and public euthanasia battle ensued when in 1998 Schiavo claimed his wife was in a "persistent vegetative state" and petitioned Florida courts for permission to withdraw her food and water. Schiavo also claimed that in casual conversations his wife had told him she would not want to be kept alive "artificially." Although Schindler-Schiavo breathes on her own and maintains her own blood pressure, she relies on a tube for nourishment and hydration.

Schindler-Schiavo's parents and siblings have said for years that she recognizes them and tries to talk. More than a dozen prominent doctors and therapists have stated under oath that she is not in a persistent vegetative state and with therapy could be rehabilitated. Only a handful of doctors testified that Schindler-Schiavo is a "vegetable" (a term frequently used to dehumanize a person someone wants to kill). They claim her expressions are basic reflex actions and she will never regain consciousness. Despite a lack of expert testimony and evidence supporting Schiavo's position, the courts have consistently ruled in his favor.

"There is but one goal when a person seeks to withdraw another human being's nutrition and hydration—death," said LDI President Douglas R. Scott. "It is a means employed when the blasted patient will just not die; when the patient has the audacity to stay alive!" Of course, the next step for suicide activists is to argue that it is more human to give a lethal injection than to starve a person to death.

THE PRAYER PROJECT

The Prayer Project encourages prayer for those in the Pro-Abortion Movement and those who have not been strong in their claimed pro-life convictions. Please join us in daily prayer for the following individuals: *September*—Congressman **Jerrold Nadler**, D-N.Y.; *October*—Governor-elect **Arnold Schwarzenegger**, R, Calif.; *November*—presidential candidate **Howard Dean**; *December*—**Michael Schiavo**, the husband of

Terri Schindler-Schiavo; and *January*—**members of Hell on Earth**, the anti-life band.

POLL FOR PRO-ABORTION CANDIDATES

Iowa's Democratic caucus-goers are very concerned about the Bush Administration's threats to the future of birth control and abortion, or so says a poll conducted for the Planned Parenthood Action Fund and the Freedom Fund of Planned Parenthood of Greater Iowa. The survey was completed this past summer.

According to the poll, 79 percent are "extremely" or "very" troubled that the Bush Administration is "cutting back on sex education that discusses ways to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases." (Yes, that is the way the question was worded.) Some 69 percent said the Administration's desire to block Planned Parenthood from receiving funds if abortion is discussed or committed is "extremely" or "very" troubling. (Can you say, "self-serving" and "biased"?)

"Our poll results make clear that the candidates would do well to sharpen their messages on sex education, birth control and women's reproductive rights because these issues are extremely popular with Democratic caucus-goers," said Planned Parenthood of Greater Iowa President and Chief Executive Officer Jill June. "It's not just a matter of being right on these issues, but how the candidates frame and talk about these issues. They'll win votes if they do it well."

The poll results have been given to all Democrats running for President. According to Planned Parenthood, the poll is "intended to help the candidates better understand and articulate many of the issues that are at the core of Planned Parenthood's mission." The survey covered several other questions. For example (note the rhetoric used by pollsters):

- 89 percent support forcing public schools to "teach medically accurate sex education courses that include not only abstinence but also teach teens how to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases...;"
- 80 percent favor "requiring health insurance companies to cover contraceptives like birth control just like they cover other prescription drugs;"
- 88 percent support "requiring all hospital emergency rooms to provide access to emergency contraception for victims of rape and incest;"
- 84% believe the government should give taxpayer dollars to groups like Planned Parenthood so "family planning services" can be provided to poor women; and

• 71% are “extremely” or “very” troubled by the Bush Administration’s policy “prohibiting federal financing for research on all new stem cell lines, despite hopes that this research could lead to breakthroughs in treatments for diseases like Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, cancer and diabetes.”

This poll is simply laughable. They may have just worded the last question this way: “Are you troubled by the evil Bush Administration’s policy prohibiting federal financing for research on all new stem-cell lines, despite hopes that this research will lead to treatments for diseases you or someone you love will get such as Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, cancer and diabetes, or are you callous and want to be personally responsible for these diseases in others, including the beloved Michael J. Fox?” Amateur. Very amateur.

A Planned Parenthood statement said the poll will be used “in the ongoing effort to educate the public about the record” of the Bush Administration and to “build upon an already strong base of public support for family planning and reproductive health care.” No doubt.

Meanwhile, the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) and several other anti-unborn child groups are organizing a candidate forum focusing on “women’s issues.” Planned Parenthood’s Action Network says the Presidential Candidate’s Forum on Women’s Issues will be a “great opportunity to bring reproductive rights issues to the forefront” of the election, as though the Democrats running for President do not mention their pro-abortion credentials every 15 minutes anyway.

The forum will tackle “women’s healthcare and family planning, equal opportunity for all women in the workplace and in education, economic security and empowerment, policies toward women abroad as reflected in U.S. foreign policy, trade policy and development strategies, and violence against women.” With PPFA as a cosponsor one can expect the event to be nothing more than a pro-abortion tirade featuring foaming-at-the-mouth extremist feminists and kowtowing politicians who think dead children help women.

QUOTABLE QUOTE: FIGHTING WORDS

“He’s no viable voice. What does he have to say about marriage, the one who divorced his own wife for a trophy wife? Randall Terry is an unrepentant sinner.”

— Rev. Flip Benham, national director of Operation Rescue/Operation Save America, on the group’s founder who is attempting to make a “comeback” by acting as a

major leader in the fight against homosexual marriage. Terry responded: “The Bible doesn’t condemn divorce, but it does condemn homosexuality.” Maybe it is time for a review of the Bible.

IN YOUR OWN WORDS

As you know, over the past several months LDI has been bombarded with unkind e-mails. This is no longer the case. In fact, we have received some letters and e-mails that have done a lot to encourage all of us here at LDI. We present just two examples:

Enclosed is a check to try to help you in some small way with your important work, especially in this difficult time after the Berkshire Hathaway situation. I am sure this (not to mention other daily concerns) has been quite a struggle for you all. I appreciate your willingness to “do battle” with these God-less corporations! Thank you so much for your dedication to the lives of the unborn.

The boycott project is a great way for us to do our part in the struggle. It is a chance for us to really live our faith, and not just mouth it. What is the small inconvenience of not having a certain product compared to the “inconvenience” of not having a life? May God bless you and your work!

— Sara McNamara

I would like to say a simple “thank you” for the wonderful work you and your team at Life Decisions International have been doing all these years to combat the evil forces of the Planned Parenthood organization. It is truly a “David vs. Goliath” struggle, and yet we see David the victor in many of the battles as you continue with (I mean, despite) all of the blows you receive from our adversaries.

I can understand how stress takes its toll on health in your office—just reading about the daily “garbage” people go through in standing up for the truth has an effect on my stomach. (...I can’t imagine what it is like to be bombarded with negative and ugly behavior on a day-to-day basis.) However, with the help of God, truth will one day triumph—maybe not in our lifetimes, but we must persist nevertheless. We know how Jesus Christ was treated. With prayer and with trust, we will one day succeed.

God bless you and your staff—I keep you and LDI along with other pro-life organizations...in my prayers. Again, thank you for the work you are doing—and congratulations on changing the minds of the corporations you have.

Enclosed is a renewal form and an added donation....

— Katherine K. Tolhurst

Sister Theresa McCall, on the other hand, was not in a complimenting mood. She wrote, “So What!?” across

the first four pages of the July-August 2003, *Caleb Report* and mailed them back to us, along with a note:

Don't send me any more *Caleb Reports*. Why do you use 4 pages to tell about negative comments you get from pro-choicers? It's a waste of my time to read. I am strongly pro-life. All the talk from pro-choicers means nothing to me. LDI needs money—cut down on this negative reporting. I can defend my pro-life stance without this report. I know right from wrong.

We believe it is *vital*ly important that you know the problems we face and the points made by others, even if they are raised by pro-abortion people. We must know what and how pro-abortion people think and feel. He who knows his enemy best is most likely to win.

The same edition of *The Caleb Report* included a letter by Ed Mahoney that was critical of Senator Rick Santorum, R-Penn., for aiding pro-abortion Senator Arlen Specter, R-Penn., in his campaign for re-election. Mahoney said that Specter's Republican primary challenger, Rep. Pat Toomey, is pro-life. But even though Kathy Coll of the 100% Pro-Life Political Action Committee knows that Specter is horrible, Toomey is not exactly what she would call "pro-life":

Just got *The Caleb Report* and, as usual, it's chock full of well-reasoned and important information...

What prompted me to contact you, though, is the bit on Santorum's support of Specter in the Republican primary next spring. Pat Toomey is, once again, called "pro-life" by Ed Mahoney which is most definitely not the case. He approves of abortion in the bogus cases of rape, incest and life of the mother; refuses to pledge to withhold public endorsements from those who are not pro-life (a la Santorum); and refuses to agree to only vote for nominees to the courts who uphold the sanctity of innocent human life. If that weren't enough, he does not oppose embryonic cloning—only taxpayer funding of it. So, it's safe to say that he's no threat at all to legalized abortion.

Our 100% Pro-Life PAC has posted the questionnaire Toomey filled out on our website (prolifepac.com) which also has some, we think, pretty insightful essays on this pervasive problem. I especially recommend the Pro-Life Rita link, which offers an innovative solution. And we are so proud to have [LDI President Doug Scott] on our Board of Advisors, along with some other "heavy hitters" in the national pro-life movement.

Again, thanks for all you do and for refusing to bend your principles!

We want to hear what you think about any issue on your mind (related to LDI and life issues, of course). It does not matter whether or not we agree with your position. We seek to be different from most organizations by refusing to censor your thoughts. So please feel free to write to us at any time. (We prefer e-mails over mailed in letters, but either one is fine.)

The Caleb Report, an official periodical of Life Decisions International (LDI), is published six times per year. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of every LDI Partner or members of its Board of Directors/Advisors or staff. Visit us at: www.fightpp.org. This publication may be copied so long as it is done in full. It may be quoted so long as proper citation is provided with the quotation. Write: P.O. Box 75161, Washington, DC 20013-0161. Phone: (540) 631-0380.

© 2003 Life Decisions International