



The Caleb Report

May-June 2006

Life Decisions International

vol. ix · no. 3

IN THIS ISSUE: Making Birth Control “More Accessible”: Planned Parenthood Affiliates Venture Into Both New And Familiar Territory / Quotable Quote / More On Pregnancy Help Centers / Complaint: We Can’t Kill Enough! / Update: Corporate Funding Project / Response: Corporate Funding Project / Divided We Fall / “Pro-Choice” = Pro-Environment? / The Prayer Project / Bigotry In Oregon / In Your Own Words / Pro-Abortion Boycott / “If I Were In Charge...”


MAKING BIRTH CONTROL “MORE ACCESSIBLE”

Planned Parenthood Affiliates Venture Into Both New And Familiar Territory

Women and girls in Oregon and Washington may now obtain birth control, including “emergency contraception,” over the Internet. Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) affiliates in the two states have entered into an agreement that makes the drugs available to anyone residing within their respective boundaries.

Along with residency, Planned Parenthood has imposed just one additional requirement for persons wanting to obtain birth control online. A medical examination? No. Education about how the potentially deadly drugs work? No. As Planned Parenthood puts it, she need only have “access to a credit card.”

Planned Parenthood of the Columbia/Willamette (PPCW), which is based in Portland, Oregon, had established what it euphemistically calls an “Online Health Center” in 2002. It currently sells “emergency” and hormonal birth control. Women and girls may use the website “to place a secure, confidential order.”



EC can reduce your risk of pregnancy if started within five days after unprotected sex. But the sooner the better.

According to PPCW the online process begins with the customer providing a “short medical history,” which is followed by a “phone consultation” with a “licensed nurse [a Planned Parenthood employee].” The paying customer may have the prescription sent via the U.S. Postal Service or may pick it up at any pharmacy or Planned Parenthood “health center.”

Four PPFA affiliates in Washington (Central Washington, Inland Northwest, Mount Baker, and Western

Washington) began offering birth control over the Internet in April 2006. Planned Parenthood claims the online store is needed because many women and girls reside in rural areas of the two states. In addition, it says that women who work full-time have trouble accessing “family planning services.”

“Our work is about increasing access to the services women need,” said Anu Ponnamma, PPCW vice president of Marketing and Program Development. “What better way than by creating collaborative models with our sister affiliates?”

“Planned Parenthood’s work is about increasing its access to teenagers, women and money,” said LDI President Douglas R. Scott, Jr. “There is nothing more to it. Planned Parenthood would not be satisfied even if every man, woman and child on the planet were required to carry at least two forms of birth control with them at all times.”

Meanwhile, Planned Parenthood Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota (PPMNS) has opened a storefront in a strip mall of suburban Minneapolis-St. Paul that offers “express service.” Customers at the new facility, which opened on June 1, 2006, may get birth control (pills, shots, rings, patches, condoms, and so forth), pregnancy testing (with results available in less than 30 minutes), and testing for sexually transmitted diseases—all without a medical examination.

The new store, located in Woodbury, Minnesota, is unique when one considers its location and target market. Most of the 50-100 “express” facilities opened by PPFA affiliates in states such as California, Illinois, Massachusetts and Ohio are in offices, medical buildings or churches. They are positioned to serve low in-

come populations or college campuses, where tabs are most often picked up by taxpayers. The Woodbury facility, on the other hand, is in a strip mall amid such businesses as restaurants, a bank, a pub, a tanning salon, a computer repair shop, and a cookie store. "It will primarily cater to women with cash or insurance," reported the *Pioneer Press*.

Another important distinction between the Woodbury "express" facility and most others around the United States is that the new store offers spa products and services (lotions, oils, decorative carrying cases for birth control, and so forth; adding massage is under consideration). Such products will attract customers who have no interest in the deadly group's controversial "services." Planned Parenthood officials know the key is getting customers in the door. All products and "services" are eventually offered to everyone who enters.



Stoesz

Sarah A. Stoesz, president and chief executive officer of PPMNS, told the Associated Press the Woodbury facility will have a "very different look and feel" than the other "express service" locations. "We're going to the women where they spend their lives, to help them solve some of the problems in their lives."

"Our goal is to increase access for women to safe and effective methods of contraception by making these services rapidly available in a retail setting where they probably are going to be anyway," said Carol E. Ball, M.D., medical director of PPMNS. (The same logic applies to allowing Planned Parenthood into schools.) "Do not be surprised to find an 'express service' facility coming to an *enclosed* mall near you," Scott warned.



Ball

PPMNS selected the Woodbury site, which is located on the heavily traveled Radio Drive, because of its "relatively high concentration of young, single women nearby," the newspaper reported. According to Ball, the facility has a nurse practitioner or physician assistant on premises during operating hours who is authorized to write prescriptions. Teenagers and women who claim to be void of a problematic gynecological history may obtain birth control without a prescription or examination. A brief survey is used to identify customers who need an examination and those who do are supposed to be referred to another Planned Parenthood facility. The store is open every day of the week.

While Ball said the chief purpose for opening the Woodbury facility is to "improve access" for women with busy lifestyles (and, ostensibly, for teenagers in

school), there is a secondary reason. The refusal of some pharmacists to fill prescriptions for birth control is having an impact.

The strip mall, City Centre East, is a 55,000 square foot, five building retail center operated by Wellington Management Inc. A Planned Parenthood spokesman said the landlord is aware of and supports use of the location for Planned Parenthood "services."

Coincidentally, another major PPMNS event took place on June 1, 2006. The "Sex in the Twin Cities Kick-Off Party" signals the beginning of this season's Sex in the Twin Cities (STC) series. The project includes "lively presentations and discussions on subjects that range from what's happening at the capitol to what's happening in the bedroom." The kick-off party featured actor David Eigenberg from the HBO production "Sex and the City." It was held at the Chiang Mai Thai Restaurant in Calhoun Square (Minneapolis).

The STC series is sponsored by PPMNS's Friends for Planned Parenthood (FPP), which the PPFA affiliate describes as "a dynamic, informal group of women and men in their twenties, thirties and older who are interested in reproductive health issues and care about Planned Parenthood." The group also sponsors lunches "with dynamic, provocative speakers" and an annual fund-raising house party for PPMNS.

ACTION: Tell Wellington Management that Planned Parenthood will harm other City Centre East businesses and such a controversial group should never be allowed to mingle with legitimate ventures. Educate the company about Planned Parenthood as well. *Write:* Ms. Kathy Bayliss, CPM, Senior Property Manager, Wellington Management Inc., 1625 Energy Park Dr., Ste. 100, St. Paul, MN 55108; *Phone:* (651) 999-5509 or (651) 292-9844; *E-mail:* kbayliss@wellingtonmgt.com; *Website:* wellingtonmgt.com.

Contact the businesses located at City Centre East. Educate them about Planned Parenthood and ask them to urge Wellington Management to cancel the PPMNS lease because the close association will hurt their businesses. Here are a few to start you out (for each of the following businesses, the city, state and zip code are: Woodbury, MN 55125; the building addresses may vary; the area code is 651 and the phone number is in parentheses): *Cellars Wines & Spirit*, 1815 Radio Dr. (738-8110); *From The Heart*, 1785 Radio Dr. (702-0880); *2001 Nails*, 1815 Radio Dr., Ste. C (578-8423); *Memory Mania!*, 1795 Radio Dr., Ste. D (731-7766); *Data Doctors Computer Services*, 1815 Radio Dr., Ste. I (738-0099); *Vision World*, 1785 Radio Dr., Ste. B (501-0920); *Acapulco Mexican Restaurant*, 1795 Radio Dr., Ste. A (739-6360); *Cookies By Design*, 1785 Radio Dr. (501-0082); *Panera Bread*, 1775 Radio Dr. (264-0010); *Leeann Chin Restaurant*, 1785 Radio Dr. (501-1949).

Important Note: All pro-life people are encouraged to contact these businesses, but success will depend upon the per-

sistence and smart strategic planning of pro-life people in the Woodbury area. Please do not let this opportunity to fight Planned Parenthood pass by without taking action. This battle is quite winnable and if pro-life people are successful in Woodbury we may be able to prevent Planned Parenthood from opening offices in enclosed malls and other strip malls.

QUOTABLE QUOTE

“Laws and policies on abortion and contraceptives should not punish women and girls for doing what they feel they must to live with dignity.”

– Marianne Mollmann of Human Rights Watch, on the bill that bans virtually all abortions in that state, *Los Angeles Times*, March 21, 2006

MORE ON PREGNANCY HELP CENTERS



Maloney

In the March-April 2006 edition of *The Caleb Report*, we told you about the Stop Deceptive Advertising for Women’s Services Act, which was sponsored by co-conspirator/Congresswoman Carolyn B. Maloney, D-N.Y. Since the introduction of the bill, Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) has released additional “information” about pregnancy help centers. What follows is the pro-abortion group’s description of crisis pregnancy work:

Once inside, the clinic staff—usually volunteers with no professional training—try to dissuade women from choosing abortion by subjecting them to inaccurate, anti-choice propaganda and intimidation. Women are exposed to films and written materials that lie about the nature of abortion, the development of the fetus, and the medical and “psychological” effects of abortion. The false information is designed to scare women into carrying pregnancies to term. CPCs [crisis pregnancy centers] also use ultrasound pictures to try to emotionally manipulate women into continuing their pregnancies.

...

Although they are not health care providers with accredited educators or counselors, CPCs have spread their misinformation campaign into the public school system. “The deception is going beyond the walls of these clinics,” said Rev. Anna Saxon, Planned Parenthood Heart of Illinois board chair. “CPCs are setting themselves up as the ‘go-to’ people for sex education in the public schools and are quickly becoming the primary providers of sex education to our children. In addition, I have counseled women who have suffered spiritual coercion and manipulation at the hands of CPC staff. This is spiritual abuse.”

Instead of listing themselves in phonebooks under “abortion alternatives,” CPCs are often listed under “clinics,” “medical services,” or “family planning,” a misrepresentation that attracts women facing unintended pregnancies who are in search of a clinic that provides a full range of services. CPCs choose names such as “Women’s Resource Center” or “Loving Care Pregnancy Center” to mislead women, and CPCs attempt to further confuse women by establishing themselves near legiti-

mate reproductive health care facilities that do provide a full range of services, including abortion services or referrals.

The Bush administration created a windfall for CPCs through federal funds allocated to abstinence-only education programs, for which many CPCs qualify. Money is also raised in various states through the sale of “Choose Life” license plates—the profits of which often go to CPCs. Today, there are six CPCs in the United States for every legitimate health clinic that provides abortions.

PPFA President Cecile Richards sent an e-mail to supporters in late April 2006 that purportedly tells the story of a pregnancy help center “victim.” The subject line read, “Sound the Alarm: Fake ‘Clinic’ Cons 17-Year-Old Girl”:

Your tax dollars are funding “crisis pregnancy centers”—to the tune of \$60 million!

Take action now!...

When a 17-year-old Indiana girl walked into what she thought was a Planned Parenthood clinic, her mother and boyfriend beside her, she never imagined that it was a trap—a deceitful setup by anti-choice zealots.

You can read her alarming story below—including how people from the fake clinic came to her high school and publicly humiliated her—but it’s not an isolated incident.

The anti-choice movement is setting up so-called “crisis pregnancy centers” across the country. Some of them have neutral-sounding names and run ads that falsely promise the full range of reproductive health services, but dispense anti-choice propaganda and intimidation instead. *And according to The New York Times, there are currently more of these centers in the U.S. than there are actual abortion providers!*

A bill has just been introduced in Congress to stop the fraudulent practices of fake clinics, but it desperately needs more support.

Tell your representative to take a stand: anti-choice extremists must not get away with this any longer!

The Story: An Indiana mother recently accompanied her daughter and her daughter’s boyfriend to one of Indiana’s Planned Parenthood clinics, but they unwittingly walked into a “crisis pregnancy center” run by an anti-abortion group—one that shared a parking lot with the real Planned Parenthood clinic, and was designed expressly to lure our patients and deceive them.

The group took down the girl’s confidential personal information and told her to come back for her appointment, which they said would be in their “other office” (the real Planned Parenthood office nearby). When she arrived for her appointment, not only did the Planned Parenthood staff have no record of her, but the police were there—the “crisis pregnancy center” had called them, claiming that a minor was being forced to have an abortion against her will.

The “crisis pregnancy center” staff then proceeded to wage a campaign of intimidation and harassment over the following days, showing up at the girl’s home and calling her father’s workplace. Our clinic director reports that she was “scared to

death to leave her house.” *They even went to her school and urged classmates to pressure her not to have an abortion.*

This cruel and fraudulent behavior shows exactly what’s behind the proliferation of these centers. That’s why this new bill is so important: it would make it illegal for any entity to advertise abortion services if it does not provide such services.

Worse yet—the Bush administration has used *\$60 million in taxpayer dollars to fund these propaganda machines. This has got to stop!*

Help bring attention to this outrageous practice. Please contact your representative today!

With your help, we can put an end to the fraud and deceit that women across America are facing in their most vulnerable moments.

“There is about as much truth in these kinds of stories spread by Planned Parenthood as there is in *The Da Vinci Code*,” said LDI President Douglas R. Scott, Jr. “In both cases, five percent fact is mixed with 95 percent fiction to advance an agenda.” Scott said that if Richards ever grows weary of “advocating the death of human beings” she may have a future “writing for television soap operas or other fictitious dramas.” (If Planned Parenthood was really concerned about wasted tax dollars it would have closed its doors long ago.)

COMPLAINT: WE CAN’T KILL ENOUGH!

Abortionists are upset and it is *your* fault. With nearly 900 bills introduced in state legislatures this year alone that would restrict abortion to at least some degree, abortionists are feeling the heat. Their livelihoods are on the line and they will not take the threat to their lifestyles lying down.

Planned Parenthood selected several of the killers-for-hire to respond to two questions: 1) What are the repercussions for doctors and their patients?; and 2) How are these new laws changing medical care?



Carhart

Nebraska abortionist LeRoy Carhart is the lead plaintiff in the federal lawsuit challenging the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act. He won a lawsuit brought against the State of Nebraska after it passed a similar ban in 2000. Planned Parenthood describes Carhart as a “gentleman farmer who has been married for four decades to a woman he met in fifth grade.” The callous abortionist responded to the questions posed by Planned Parenthood:

In recent years, state legislatures have passed a barrage of laws that limit abortion access. And how severe these restrictions are all depends on where you live. Here in the red states and in the Bible Belt, anti-choice laws abound.

In Missouri, doctors can’t [commit] abortion unless they have admitting privileges at a hospital within 30 miles of the [loca-

tion where the abortion is performed]. In Indiana, abortions [performed] after the first trimester have to be done in the hospital or an ambulatory surgical center, where costs can be [higher]. In Nebraska, if you do ten or more abortions per week, your office is licensed differently—and held to higher standards—than a facility that performs fewer procedures.

As if these laws were not prohibitive enough, non-legal restrictions are also on the rise. Ultraconservative politicians are pressuring landlords not to rent office space to abortion providers, and malpractice insurance carriers refuse to cover them...By putting abortion financially and logistically out of reach, these restrictions don’t serve patients’ needs. [Many] women are now going without abortions because they can’t afford or can’t get them. People who support these rules say they are designed to make care better. But in the end, they only wind up hurting patients.



An MSC plea for money.

Jaime Michaelson is not an abortionist; not yet, anyway. But she is a member of Medical Students for Choice (MSC), a group that claims a membership of approximately 7,000 medical students, 116 chapters (United States and Canada) and eight national staff members. MSC works to place “abortion education and training on the class syllabi of medical schools throughout North America.” It seeks to fill vacancies left by retiring abortionists with those who are newly trained to kill and to place abortionists in those areas where one does not currently exist. Michaelson responded to Planned Parenthood’s questions:

The current political and legal climate is an affront not just to reproductive rights, but to the doctor-patient relationship. New laws created by the anti-choice movement are forcing abortion providers to read prepared, state-approved scripts to their patients. And in some cases, these statements are flat-out false.

There is talk of creating scripts that say there is a link between abortion and breast cancer, even though evidence doesn’t support this. When a doctor is forced to read something that is medically inaccurate, it takes a toll on the trust the patient should have in her health care provider.

A [recent] U.S. Supreme Court case called *Ayotte v. Planned Parenthood of Northern New England*...challenge[d] a New Hampshire law that [would] prohibit doctors from performing an abortion for a woman under 18 until 48 hours after a parent has been notified. The law include[d] no exception for medical emergencies that could pose serious health risks. To even fathom the idea that a physician shouldn’t act in a patient’s best interest is a scary and dangerous proposition.

For women, restrictions like these can make abortion—often an emotional and difficult experience to begin with—even more trying. For health care providers, these laws add another layer of complexity to the provision of abortion services.

Instead of concentrating solely on caring for their patients, doctors now have to make sure they are abiding by all the new restrictive laws that have been passed recently. If they don't, they could be put out of practice, lowering our already-dwindling supply of abortion providers. The good news is that most abortion providers believe strongly in what they are doing. These restrictions may aim to deter them but will only make them more dedicated to serving their patients.



Michaelson

Few would claim that Michaelson did not pass Planned Parenthood's school of verbal engineering and propaganda with a perfect score. Currently in her fourth year of medical school at the University of Arizona, it is virtually certain she will use her medical degree to kill rather than heal. What a waste of God-given skill.

Morris Wortman is an abortionist in Rochester, N.Y. Planned Parenthood attempts to portray him as a compassionate man. "He has installed an elaborate security system in his home and a protective berm...to safeguard the child care center next door from potential bombings." The founding director of The Center for Menstrual Disorders and Reproductive Choice, Wortman responded to the questions:



Wortman

Starting in [the] late 1980s, there was a big backlash against *Roe v. Wade*, the 1973 U.S. Supreme Court decision that legalized abortion in the U.S. Just as the backlash was getting into full swing, Bill Clinton came into office in 1992, making anti-choice activists feel like they had no recourse and would now have to resort to illegal means.

Clinic violence escalated, then peaked with the Slepian murder, which prompted many long-time abortion providers to quit and spurred me to carry a gun and wear a bulletproof vest. Today, under the ultraconservative Bush administration, clinic violence is settling down. But the backlash still continues in a legal form.

Under this administration, anti-choice activists have been re-franchised and have been able to pass a flurry of laws that undermine *Roe v. Wade*. There are mandatory waiting periods for abortion. There are laws that require young women to notify their parents if they want to have the procedure. There are laws that put restrictions on abortion providers, regulating the size of their waiting rooms and subjecting them to rules not applied to other doctors.

Legislation that rolls back abortion rights is becoming more common on the state and federal levels. But some day, the pendulum will swing the other way...We will get to the point where pro-choice activists successfully challenge these laws. People...are going to wake up. And then, we're going to see change.

As the end of the abortion-on-demand era draws closer, one can expect Planned Parenthood to engage in simi-

lar attempts to paint those who kill preborn children as selfless heroes.

UPDATE: CORPORATE FUNDING PROJECT

New World Restaurant Group Inc. is no longer a boycott target. It will not appear on the revised edition of *The Boycott List*, the release of which is expected before July 1, 2006.


Blimpie International Inc. has been acquired by Kahala Corporation. Kahala sells franchises for Blimpie, Frullati Café & Bakery, Great Steak & Potato, Maui Tacos, Pasta Central, Ranch*1, Rollerz, Samurai Sam's, Smoothie Island, Surf City Squeeze, TacoTime, and Wafflō. Just as we did with Blimpie International, LDI has requested that Kahala ask franchisees to not support Planned Parenthood but as of this writing an affirmative reply has not been received. The franchise model is not an acceptable excuse for claiming impotence on this matter. Contact information: *Write:* Mr. Kevin Blackwell, Chairman & CEO, Kahala Corp., 7730 E. Greenway Rd., Ste. 104, Scottsdale, AZ 85260-1787; *Phone:* 800-438-2590 or (480) 443-0200; *Website:* kahalacorp.com.



Boycott target J. P. Morgan Chase & Company is now processing credit cards for Kohl's Department Stores. Consequently, the *credit card* should be boycotted.

Wachovia Corporation has acquired Westcorp and WFS Financial.

RESPONSE: CORPORATE FUNDING PROJECT



Altria

After writing to Altria Group Inc., Terri Dyer mailed a copy to Tamara Stieber, editor of Kraft's *Food & Family* magazine. Dyer received a reply from Anne-Marie Truong, executive representative of Consumer Relations Group at Kraft. In the letter, Truong stated that "Kraft Foods does not contribute to Planned Parenthood through any type of corporate donations and Kraft does not have future plans to fund the organization."

"So I'm a little confused," Dyer told LDI. "I really enjoy Kraft products along with some of the others listed under Altria but I sincerely don't want to advance Planned Parenthood's benefit in any way. Could you please help me to understand this seeming contradiction?"

Altria is the parent company of Kraft Foods. Altria is an admitted supporter of Planned Parenthood so the

entire corporation is a boycott target. Some corporations will select a strong subsidiary to give to Planned Parenthood and try to claim the rest of the company is “innocent.” The fact is that the final decision on philanthropic policy for the *entire* corporation rests with Altria.

As for Kraft’s response, we are not impressed with the text which reads, “Kraft does not have future plans” to fund Planned Parenthood. We are quite familiar with this kind of rhetoric. “No future plans” can change from day-to-day. Kraft Foods may have “no future plans” at this very moment, but its “plans” may change tomorrow. LDI will require a much stronger statement from Altria than that given by its subsidiary before dropping it from *The Boycott List*.



Drew Gordon, editor in chief of *Reformed Presbyterian Witness*, forwarded to LDI a letter he received from John Dean, manager of a Price Chopper Supermarket in Fulton, N.Y. The Golub Corporation owns Price Chopper and Mini Chopper stores:

I was recently approached by a customer who indicated they were considering boycotting my supermarket because of an article in your publication.

In your December 2005 issue (Newscan), you encouraged your readers to boycott Price Chopper/Mini Chopper Supermarkets because of their support of Planned Parenthood.

As a personal supporter of life and the rights of the unborn, I was particularly concerned that the company I represent would endorse the killing of the unborn. Fortunately, I found out that the “Price Chopper” Supermarkets your article was referring to are based out of Kansas City, Mo., and not Schenectady, N.Y. (Actually, there are at least three different Price Chopper chains in North America.)


Would you please make a retraction in your publication? We have many believers that are employed by the Schenectady-based Price Chopper, and our tithes and offerings help support many very worthy causes. It would be a shame for people to be deceived into boycotting a business that has employees who serve God and financially support His ministries.

Gordon sent Dean’s letter to LDI as a courtesy. The editor apparently believed we were not aware of the existence of “three different Price Chopper chains in North America,” which led LDI to release erroneous information. Unfortunately, Gordon printed Dean’s letter *before* contacting LDI. This is significant because it is Dean who is mistaken; not LDI.

“We have reviewed our records and can confirm that the corporation based in New York was *correctly* identified as a supporter of Planned Parenthood,” LDI informed Gordon. The text of LDI’s letter to Neil M.

Golub, president and chief executive officer of The Golub Corporation, was included. LDI’s letter was in response to one Golub had written in which he acknowledged the corporation’s support of Planned Parenthood and *defended* the ghastly group.

“[W]e do not discriminate based on personal beliefs,” Golub wrote. “We cannot (and should not) act as a censor. That is not our purpose, nor our place - it is an individual decision that one is entitled to make.” Does this mean Golub is not the least bit interested in how how Planned Parenthood uses the donation? Would it be acceptable to Golub if Planned Parenthood used the funds to commit abortions? After all, he would not want to “act as a censor.” LDI’s letter of response consisted of several points, including the following:



Mr. Golub, this is not a question of censoring anyone; it is a question of paying for the advancement of a belief. The denial of corporate—as opposed to personal—funds to a controversial group is to be expected. And speaking of personal funds, your company must “discriminate” just as individuals must do so. You surely cannot fund everyone who asks and to the exact dollar figure requested.

One part of your letter has us a bit perplexed. “That [censorship] is not our purpose, nor our place - it is an individual decision that one is entitled to make,” you wrote. We are not completely sure if the “it” refers to abortion, censorship, personal beliefs, or something else. The answer is largely immaterial because our response would be the same.

People may make decisions, but your corporation need not advance anyone’s choice. We do not ask you to fund our cause. If we did so and you were to deny our request (assuming we were based in your operating area), would you be discriminating? Yes! And you have a right to do so. It is the corporation’s money and you have a right to decide where it goes. Would you be censoring our personal beliefs? Not at all. You simply would not be using corporate money to help us reach our goals. Moreover, your decision would mean that the corporate name would not be linked to our work and agenda. The one thing Planned Parenthood likes more than money is the corporate endorsement.

LDI publishes only that information we are 100 percent certain is accurate. Please check with us any time you are told an error has been made. Naturally, as human beings, it is possible that no matter how much research and fact-checking we do, an error will be made. Should this ever be the case, we will profusely apologize and *immediately* make the appropriate correction.

JPMorgan Chase & Company Inc. claims to be “very sensitive” to the issue of abortion but it would



be inappropriate for the corporation to take sides. Yet the company funds Planned Parenthood, which definitely constitutes taking a side. After discussing its matching gifts program, Kimberly B. Davis, president of the JPMorgan Chase Foundation, provided further comment:

We do believe, however, that the rising rates of teen pregnancy and AIDS among young women and their babies, and their attending spiraling costs, are issues that need to be addressed as they have an economic impact on areas where our customers live and work. While we do not fund Planned Parenthood Federation of America or Planned Parenthood International, JPMorgan Chase Foundation has made grants to a few Planned Parenthood chapters, including the one based in New York City. Our grants were directed specifically to programs designed to prevent teen pregnancy, ensure healthy babies among those teens who do get pregnant, provide necessary health care and counseling, and enable youngsters to join the economic mainstream.

While it makes no difference which level (international, national, local) of Planned Parenthood receives corporate funds, it is pathetically easy to read between the lines of the Davis letter. Who is responsible for paying these “attending spiraling costs”? Taxpayers. JPMorgan Chase believes its grants to Planned Parenthood are an investment that will eventually mean a lower corporate tax rate. Saving tax dollars is a noble goal, but the corporation is putting profits ahead of human life.



Gannett Company Inc.’s response to the boycott is remarkably similar to that offered by JPMorgan Chase. Gannett admits to funding Planned Parenthood, but the confession comes with rationalization. “We think it’s wrong for the [Gannett] Foundation to take sides, frankly,” wrote Irma Simpson, manager of the Foundation. If Gannett funded Planned Parenthood *and* a pro-life organization, it would be no better than those who profit off by selling weapons to both sides of a conflict. The company would still be a boycott target.

The Bank of America Corporation is not saying much. “Our involvement with Planned Parenthood is driven primarily by our associates,” wrote Andrew Plepler, president of the Bank of America Charitable Foundation. He goes on to discuss the corporation’s matching gifts program but says nothing whatsoever about direct grants going to Planned Parenthood. Note that Bank of America’s support of the filthy rich abortion-advocacy giant may be driven “primarily” (as opposed to “exclusively”) by employees via a matching gift program. Plepler’s letter



is nothing more than the usual evade-the-issue corporate rhetoric intended to placate pro-life activists.



Donations made to Planned Parenthood by The New York Times Company are “consistent with the long-established belief expressed in the [rabidly pro-abortion] editorial policy of the newspaper,” wrote Catherine J. Mathis, vice president of Corporate Communications. This could not be any clearer.

Wells Fargo & Company Inc. does not “take official positions regarding contributions to any non-profit organizations, including Planned Parenthood,” wrote Tim Hanlon, president of the Wells Fargo Foundation.



Despite the convoluted rhetoric, if a corporation funds a group an implied “stamp of approval” or “endorsement” goes along with it. Hanlon wants to claim that Wells Fargo does not take an “official” position, but it sure takes a strong *unofficial* position. After noting that Wells Fargo donated \$93 million to more than 15,000 nonprofit groups in 2004, as though it excuses the evil the corporation does, Hanlon conceded that local banks have the authority to fund Planned Parenthood.



Another corporation that proudly confesses to being a financial supporter of Planned Parenthood is Wyeth Inc. “The company provides support to Planned Parenthood and many other organizations so that women have access to information about family planning and contraceptive options,” wrote Diana A. Blankman, assistant director of Corporate Affairs. Wyeth products include birth control. A donation to Planned Parenthood is an investment for the corporation. If Wyeth were to pull funding from Planned Parenthood, maybe the pro-abortion group would boycott the company’s products.

Two business leaders have contacted LDI about their company names being listed on the local/regional edition of *The Boycott List*. In November 2005, before LDI published the December 2005 edition of *The Boycott List*, we received a call from Ed Hixon, owner of Six One Four, which is a wine and gourmet foods market in Newburg, Oregon:

I have just received a mailing from your organization regarding our business and there is information in this mailing and allegations in this mailing that have me concerned and I would like somebody to call me back at area code 503-537-5555. Let me hasten to say that if in any case I do not receive a call back today, November 2nd [2005], I will turn these papers over to my attorney and we’ll go from there!

LDI returned Hixon's call, during which he continued the attempt to intimidate us by threatening to send our letter to an attorney. We told Hixon that he was welcome to do so. LDI did not hear from Hixon or anyone representing him until May 2006 when he left another phone message. Hixon had apparently forgotten that we had spoken with him about this matter in the past:

This is the second time that I've contacted you people. We've just received a notice that we are on *The Boycott List* due to our support of Planned Parenthood. First let me make something very clear. We have never, as a business, supported Planned Parenthood or any other political position. And secondly, I do not appreciate having our business listed on this, as this boycott target and I expect somebody to return a call to me here at Six One Four...as soon as possible. If I don't hear back from you all on this issue a second time, like I said [this is] the second time that I've contact you, I will, the next correspondence you get will be from our attorney. Again, we do not support any political standings on anything as a business and our personal standings are not germane to this issue. So again, give me a call...If I don't hear back from you within the next 48 hours I will take legal action.

Despite Hixon's claim and the clear inference that he makes personal contributions to Planned Parenthood, the local PPFA affiliate lists *Six One Four* as a supporter; not Hixon. We think Hixon's beef is with Planned Parenthood for "spilling the beans."

Mathew J. Tlusty is president of boycott target Café Inc. (Limani Mediterranean Grill in Portland, Oregon). After receiving the most recent letter from LDI inquiring as to whether the company qualifies to be dropped from *The Boycott List* (local/regional business edition), Tlusty just *had* to share his thoughts with us:

Over the years I have received your letters asking me if I would like to be removed from your boycott list. Usually I just throw it away, or pass it around with my employees so we can have a good laugh.

I think it is wonderfully American of you to be able to choose an issue that you feel strong about and preach it, and wonderfully American of me to not put you on my boycott list because I respect the fact that you have that American right. I love living in a country that you and I both have that freedom. I pray that you appreciate this country as I do.

I also think it is wonderful that you said, "God Bless You" in your letter, that was nice for you to assume that I believe in God. I hope that we both believe in the same one, the one that feels we are all brothers and sisters and that we not harm each other, casting stones, making judgements [sic]. My belief is that we all love each other *no matter what*.

My computer system for my business does not track customers who have dined with me that do not care about life, born or "preborn", so I really don't know what to say about that, except that I assume, most of my customers do care about life, just a hunch. I do have to say, there have been associates from PP [Planned Parenthood] who I have met in my busi-

ness, and truthfully, I never got the impression that they didn't care about life. Maybe we can agree to disagree on that one.

In case you or the politicians on your board of advisors forgot, as of today, abortion is legal. That means if someone wants one they can have one and it is unlawful and UnAmerican [sic] for any of you to stop it or hinder it. Thats [sic] just the law of this great country.

Looking through your flyer, I noticed a blurb about abstinence. Let's just step away for a moment from "morality" and look at some hard facts. No...not the kind that FOX news presents, but real life hard facts. Teaching a kid about abstinence is like teaching the obese about starvation. If you don't eat, you get skinny, duh...if you don't have sex, you won't get pregnant...duh. But are either effective...you do the math. I know for a fact [sic] that research supports this, I also know for a fact that several states round [sic] me scrapped those research projects because they did not support heir "moral" ideas. All three states went ahead with the Abstinence programs, and with that all counties had a 6-8% increase over the last year in teenage pregnancy. So...if you don't want women to have abortions figure out a better way of protecting them...duh.

All in all, please keep Cafe Inc on your boycott list, because as I saw on [y]our web site, we do alot [sic] of business with the companies on your list. I would appreciate the business.

Thank you and have a blessed day!!!!

While we are happy to brighten the day of Limani Mediterranean Grill employees, Tlusty has a misunderstanding of what it means to be an American. Are pro-abortion groups acting "un-American" when they call for a boycott against a company? Is Jesse Jackson being "un-American" when he calls for a boycott? Are animal-rights and radical feminist groups engaging in "un-American" activity when they call for a boycott? It is because we are Americans that we are *free* to do so. (Tlusty may add LDI to his personal "boycott list.")

While ending a letter with "God bless you" does not assume the recipient believes in God, Tlusty seems to think a true believer would never criticize others. We are indeed called to love each other "no matter what," but true love does not mean quietly allowing people to do anything they choose. Is not this what many Germans did at the height of the Third Reich? True love demands that we call our brothers and sisters to do good; not evil. There is a huge difference between demonstrating good judgment and being judgmental.

While abortion is indeed "legal," arguing that its legality makes it "unlawful and UnAmerican [sic]" to "stop it or hinder it" is ridiculous. If this were the case, unjust laws (there have been many in American history) would never change. It is "unlawful" for pro-life people to "unlawfully" stop or hinder someone from killing a

preborn child, but there is absolutely *nothing* wrong with attempting to prevent it through other means.

Plusty refers to “studies,” yet he fails to identify even one. Were these Planned Parenthood “studies”? While his statement that teaching chastity is akin to “teaching the obese about starvation” is amusing, it brings “apples and oranges” to a whole new and absurd level.

DIVIDED WE FALL

You are the leader of a corporation that funds Planned Parenthood, which results in its placement on *The Boycott List*. What can you do to counter the impact of the boycott and divide pro-life people? It is quite simple. Hire Christians to do your bidding.

When the Dayton Hudson Corporation (now Target Inc.) was a boycott target, the company hired Christian singers Michael W. Smith and Amy Grant to pitch Target Stores. Despite the efforts of many pro-life activists, Smith and Grant refused to end the lucrative association with the company. The management agent of the pair attacked LDI while staunchly defending its clients and Planned Parenthood.

While Target Inc. is no longer on *The Boycott List*, the same cannot be said of Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company. Taking a page out of Dayton Hudson’s “How to Divide Christians” playbook, Nationwide hired M.C. Hammer (Stanley Kirk Burrell) as its pitchman. The creator of such mega-hits as “U Can’t Touch This” and “Too Legit to Quit,” Hammer once owned a \$12 million mansion in Fremont, Calif., 17 luxury cars and had a staff of 250. In October 1997, after losing virtually all of his material possessions when his star faded, Hammer reaffirmed his Christian beliefs. He now hosts a television show on the Trinity Broadcasting Network. In the television advertisement for Nationwide, Hammer pokes fun at his sudden fall from fame and wealth.



M.C. Hammer



Needless-to-say, anything with the name C.S. Lewis attached to it will attract a Christian audience. He authored several books including *Mere Christianity* (a must-read), *A Grief Observed*, *Miracles*, *The Abolition of Man*, *The Screwtape Letters* and, of course, the 1948 classic *The Lion, the Witch and the Wardrobe*.

Leave it to the Planned Parenthood-funding Walt Disney Company to produce the motion picture version of the latter book, “The Chronicles of Narnia: The Lion, the Witch and the Wardrobe.” How many pro-life people paid to see the film with full knowledge of the com-

pany’s philanthropic activities? It was clearly wise of The Walt Disney Company to make the smash hit.

Pro-life activists should not be surprised that corporate leaders will test their will. Unfortunately, far too many justify their putting pro-life views on hold while they patronize Planned Parenthood-funding corporations.

“PRO-CHOICE” = PRO-ENVIRONMENT?

Did you know that Earth Day 2006 was on April 22? You most certainly did not; if Planned Parenthood stereotyping can be believed. After all, one cannot *truly* care about the environment unless he or she supports abortion. This is common sense. And according to Planned Parenthood, every Earth Day brings an opportunity to “examine the connection between environmental justice and reproductive rights.” What? “Environmental justice”? “Reproductive rights”?

Choice! Magazine, a Planned Parenthood Federation of **choice! magazine** America (PPFA) publication, pointed to a Guttmacher Institute report titled, “Environmental Justice Campaigns Provide Fertile Ground for Joint Efforts with Reproductive Rights Advocates.” A “special” PPFA affiliate, the Guttmacher Institute “makes a strong case that family planning and reproductive health are ‘intimately tied to environmental conditions,’ and that by working together, pro-choice and pro-environment advocates could better advance a joint cause.”

Creating political alliances is nothing new. It is an essential part to successful politics. In fact, even some organizations that could officially care less about “reproductive rights” may join in coalition with pro-abortion groups in a quid pro quo relationship. For example, PPFA supports projects and political initiatives of the National Audubon Society (NAS). In turn, NAS does the same for PPFA. This kind of relationship creates a kind of clout that cannot easily be ignored. While groups on the left have mastered coalition building, those on the right have a lot to learn.



Souder

To shed more light on the issue, *Choice! Magazine* interviewed Annette Souder, senior Washington representative for the Sierra Club’s Global Population and Environment Program. She said the Guttmacher Institute report wisely advocated cooperation between pro-abortion and pro-environment forces. In fact, Souder said, pro-abortion activists accompanied her on a recent trip to Madagascar and the Philippines where they visited “integrated family planning health environment” projects. “When I see these projects, I think they’re so phenomenal, and we hear from the community members

how valuable they are to them, and yet it doesn't seem we do as much integration here at home," Souder said.

Environmental and pro-abortion activists have a long history of cooperation. Souder pointed to an example:

In 1994, in Cairo, Egypt, there was a UN [United Nations] meeting on population and sustainable development, bringing together demographers, women's rights advocates, environmentalists—all with the goal of increasing access to family planning and reproductive health programs and building better development projects, but perhaps with very different and, at times, disparate messages. So Cairo brought together these 179 nations and countless NGOs [non-governmental organizations] around what we've commonly referred to as a global script saying that if we want to do sustainable development that will be long-lasting and effective locally, nationally, and internationally, then access to health [care] has to be the cornerstone.

Souder addressed the philosophy that binds the groups:

Women are center to community development. If women—as key players, decision makers, and also key national resource gatherers in the community—are not empowered, not only their family, but also the community suffers. It has the effect of stalling development. So to me, they have to go hand in hand.

I think the most concrete environmental example is access to clean water. If you live on an island nation like Madagascar in the southern part of the country where we've visited, when you see rapid population growth act up against a very short supply of water and inadequate sanitation, you see a whole maelstrom of complications and problems—and yet, how can you expect half of the population, meaning the women and girls, to participate in helping to solve those problems when they are so burdened with health issues?



Not surprisingly, Souder failed to admit what it is that *really* ties pro-abortion and pro-environment groups together. Fewer people. For environmental groups, fewer people means a cleaner planet. For pro-abortion groups, fewer people, well, fewer people! Birds of a feather...

Souder said several challenges lay ahead for the pro-abortion and pro-environment movements, the most important of which is "getting the right messages out to the right audiences." She blamed the media:

I think that many times, probably more so in reproductive rights issues, and particularly in population issues, that the media just doesn't quite get it right. I think that part of what we have to continue to do as environmentalists working at this nexus is to get the right message out and to really work with journalists, because they're the ones reaching the masses.

These groups fail to understand that pro-life activists want a clean environment as much as they do. It is just that pro-life people have eliminated killing and impos-

ing draconian laws as part of any "solution" to problems. The truth is that these extremist groups like killing more than they want a clean environment. After all, there are countless numbers of people who would join their ranks if such harsh "solutions" were rejected from the outset.

THE PRAYER PROJECT

Please join us in daily prayer for the men and women who are active in the Pro-Abortion Movement and those who have not been strong in their claimed pro-life convictions: *May*—actress and talk-show host **Oprah Winfrey**; *June*—new PPFA head **Cecile Richards**; *July*—new Canadian Prime Minister **Stephen Harper**; *August*—Rep. **Carolyn B. Maloney**, D-N.Y., who is leading an assault on pregnancy help centers; and *September*—**Jaime Michaelson**, who is active in Medical Students for Choice.

BIGOTRY IN OREGON

The following text is included in the online version of the Spring 2006 edition of *Special Reports*, which is titled, "The Never-Ending Hoax: *The Da Vinci Code* vs. Christianity."

WARNING: Some of the text and imagery in this article is offensive. Please use appropriate discretion.

A distinction should be drawn between publicly and privately funded works. Every example covered herein was privately funded. But who can forget the 1986 controversy created by the Andres Serrano work "Piss Christ"—a photograph of a crucifix submerged in urine. Funded in part by American taxpayers through the notorious National Endowment for the Arts, there were two attempts to destroy "Piss Christ." (Serrano is the same "artist" who photographed a woman holding the hair of a man while urinating into his mouth. Another photo shows a nude woman grasping the erect penis of a horse.)


The first incident occurred when 51-year-old John Allen Haywood took the photograph off the wall at the National Gallery of Victoria (Australia) and kicked it. Haywood received a one month suspended jail term. After his court appearance Haywood was quoted as saying, "You can go so far with taking the piss, you understand... It riles me, it really gets me very upset." Asked what he would say to the "artist" whose work he damaged, Haywood replied, "I wouldn't like to say nothing to him. I'd just like to punch him on the nose." His actions caused only slight damage to the photograph's framing.

One day after the Haywood incident, two teenagers attacked the photograph. While one teenager began kicking a print featuring a member of the Ku Klux Klan on the opposite wall, the other smashed "Piss

Christ” about eight times with a hammer. During the struggle to overpower the second teenager, the hammer fell and struck a security guard on the knee. The exhibit was closed shortly thereafter.

In March 2006, several anti-Christian drawings and commentaries were published in the UO (University of Oregon) *Student Insurgent*, which is funded by student fees. One commentary, titled “A Little Ranting And Raving: Christianity,” includes statements like, “I believe that Christianity is a destructive institution in America, and the world for that matter.” Another editorial, “A Brief History of the Catholic Church,” begins with these words: “The Catholic Church began about 350 years after the *purported* birth of Christ [emphasis added].” And, of course, there are articles such as “Women’s Rights: Are We Going to Lose Our Right to Choose?,” “D.I.Y. Choice: Herbal medicine for when you want (or need) to take matters [abortion] into your own hands.” Anti-war and animal abuse articles are also part of the tabloid.

The radical left rag used a full page to publish a drawing depicting a nude Jesus on the cross—with an erection. Another drawing shows Jesus carrying a cross while a dog pulls down his clothing, revealing the top part of His buttocks. In an intentional reference to the old Coppertone commercials which showed a dog pulling down the underpants of a child, the caption of this drawing read, “Going to spend a day at Golgotha [the hill near Jerusalem where Jesus was crucified]? Better put on your Saviortone.”




The Student Insurgent mocks the Son of God with a drawing of “pogo Jesus”.

The bigotry was just beginning. Several other crude drawings demonstrating an absolute disdain for God and His people were included in the publication:

- Referencing the famous Sistine Chapel painting by Michelangelo, a drawing shows God reaching out to Adam. Both are naked and God has an erection. Instead of touching man’s finger, God is reaching out to fondle his penis while Adam strokes God’s hair.
- “What if Jesus Was a Woman?” depicts a female “Jesus” on the cross who is pregnant. The caption reads, “Would womens [sic] rights be under attack today if Jesus was a woman?”
- The finale is the publication’s centerfold (covering two full pages). Titled “Resurrection,” a dead Jesus is shown lying on the cross that had been taken to the ground. Jesus is being passionately kissed by a man

who is lying on top of Him. Both are naked and the man has an erection.



This Student Insurgent drawing makes an appalling sexual reference.

Unfortunately, bigotry is alive and well, even on “liberal” college campuses. And those on the political left seem to think it is acceptable when they are the perpetrators. If such drawings had been aimed at any other group, liberals would have gone ballistic. Furthermore, it seems odd that with the exception of “The O’Reilly Factor” (FOX News), none of the major news networks, both cable and broadcast, saw fit to cover this story. Still, one must determine when it is wise to publicly criticize such reprehensible behavior and when doing so just allows those who are seeking attention to get their wish. Sometimes the best response is to ignore; sometimes it is to boldly and vocally act.

IN YOUR OWN WORDS

LDI often provides space for its supporters and detractors to have their say about various issues. If you have a comment/opinion on any issue relating to LDI or life issues, do not be shy! Send it to us!

An e-mail that had apparently been sent to many people was recently forwarded to LDI. It was authored by Gerry Maingot of The Centre for Jesus and Mary in Langley, Canada:

Subject: The Da Vinci Code—The Story of Present Day Christian Persecution—A Must Read...

Generally speaking, I try to send out only the material that I feel very important to Christian issues in today’s world and in particular to Catholicism, the faith to which I belong. This one fills that bill...

This article published by Life Decisions International of Washington, D.C., was drawn to my attention by a good friend, who stated that it was the best treatment of the whole attack on the Church he had read to date (see website address below). I agree with him...

Although long, it covers all that one would need to fully recognize what is happening now. We have every right to be upset, scandalized and offended by these lies that blasphemes against our God. May He forgive those involved.

I urge you to read it and to spread it among all that you know...

The accompanying website address was to the Spring 2006 edition of *Special Reports*, which is titled, “The Never-Ending Hoax: *The Da Vinci Code* vs. Christianity.” LDI received several other comments about the piece:

I thought I would never understand all the fuss about *The Da Vinci Code*. I had read about it in magazines and watched sto-

ries about it on T.V. but it was confusing and seemed like no big deal. Finally, after reading *Special Reports*, I know why it is important for all people to know about what is happening because Mr. Scott's analysis gave us the "big picture." Thank you very much for publishing such great work!

– Linda Larson

About *The Da Vinci Code* article, I couldn't have said it better myself, especially since I was not blessed with the skills you have in getting to the truth and bottom line. Thanks for taking a complicated issue and showing us why we should care.

– Wes Lee Sharron

Kristin Oke is pro-abortion and wanted to let us know that she objected to our criticism of Planned Parenthood for using young people to entice their peers to visit a Planned Parenthood facility (see the March-April 2006 edition of *The Caleb Report*):

I wanted to take a moment to respond to the recent article that I found regarding Planned Parenthood's effort to outreach to youth. I'm more than slightly confused on your misguided take on the situation, and am wondering how it is that you've formed the opinion that Planned Parenthood is, as is quoted in the article, seeking "to get more young people in their doors to increase the size of their already overflowing coffers—funds often provided by taxpayers. And once a teenager is hooked, he or she can prove to be a good source of income for many years to come."

As someone who believes in Planned Parenthood's mission to educate, inform, and stress the importance of awareness about sexual health, I want to take a moment to make sure that you are also aware of what this organization has accomplished for youth, and adults, in this nation and around the world. Planned Parenthood is not, as your organization seems to believe, a "pro-abortion" organization. They stand for tolerance, education, and most importantly, equal care and equal rights for all citizens. Planned Parenthood is about family planning, STD education, and access to health care for everyone. The best way to prevent the need for abortion, is to educate about safe sex practices. You are aware that abstinence is one of the first tenets of Planned Parenthood's safe-sex teachings? I know, because in addition to volunteering at my local Christian church, I also volunteer for Planned Parenthood's local chapter. I believe that both, are wonderful and necessary organizations in today's society.

I hope that in addition, you will take a moment to really think about what it says to crucify an organization that seeks to do nothing but help its citizens. Isn't that what once happened (and in some places continues to happen) to Christians? Please also keep in mind that I'm sure we all want nothing but the best for youth, and all citizens, of this nation. Limiting rights of these individuals will not help to solve what you perceive to be a problem. It will simply create an environment where people look to illegal and unsafe measures instead of authorized and regulated medical solutions. I hope you agree that the best education for the youth of this country is to be exposed to many different options and ideas. To show them every side of an issue in an open and respectful way. Isn't that the Christian thing to do?

Oke clearly has a perverted view of what it means to be a follower of Jesus Christ. Despite the spin she places on the activities and goals of Planned Parenthood, the fact remains that there is no "need" for abortion. And we already know that Planned Parenthood's definition of "abstinence" is not exactly what most people think of when the word comes to mind. Not even close. We pray the God will open Oke's eyes and that she will be willing to see and accept the truth when He does so.

Joel Torczon wrote with an incredible analogy to Planned Parenthood enticing young people to sacrifice their peers with a free iPod, which is manufactured by Apple Computer:

I saw the report of the San Francisco-based office of Planned Parenthood offering a chance to win an iPod by coming to its office. It looks like the irony of their offer of a modern-day "Apple"—an iPod—to entice people to turn their backs on God is lost on them!

Rylee Shaw had some kind words about fightpp.org:

Your website has been a huge help to me...I was recently asked to participate in the March of Dimes Jail & Bail, and because of your website, I learned of their views. I declined and I told them exactly why. I am not a very vocal person, but this has helped me to become stronger in raising my voice. Thank you!

Also, I am a member of the Catholic Mothers for Christ group in McCook, NE. Our group reads books from Mary Ann Budnik and other Catholic based books to raise saintly children and healthy catholic marriages. We often share with the group findings on the exact things expressed in your website...Thank you and God Bless you!

PRO-ABORTION BOYCOTT

Pro-abortion groups are calling for a boycott of South Dakota in protest of a bill that bans abortion in the state except to save the mother's life. According to an Associated Press and CNN study, politicians and state agencies have been "bombarded" with calls, letters and e-mails from pro-abortion activists. "Obviously, this is one of the most contentious issues in American society," said Don Dahlin, a political science professor at the University of South Dakota, "so when a state does something dramatic, I think you can reasonably expect it will elicit a pretty strong response from the folks who have an interest in the issue."

Tourism is South Dakota's second-largest industry. "Our message is that if they are going to treat women in this inhumane way, they can expect to pay a price," said Anne Gaylor, director of the Madison, Wisconsin-based Women's Medical Fund. But pro-life activists have said they will be sure to visit and spend money in South Dakota. In any case, the boycott is expected to have a negligible impact at best.

“IF I WERE IN CHARGE...”

In the March-April 2006 edition of *The Caleb Report*, we invited pro-life activists to finish this sentence: “If I were in charge of the Pro-Life Movement, I would...” (“Pray” is a given, so skip to your next tangible action[s].) Your name will be used but no other information will be released. Anonymous responses will not be printed. If responding by e-mail (ldi@fightpp.org), put “IF I WERE IN CHARGE” in the subject line.

The Caleb Report, an official periodical of Life Decisions International (LDI), is published six times per year. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of every LDI Partner or members of its Board of Directors/Advisors or staff. Visit us at: www.fightpp.org. This publication may be copied so long as it is done in full. It may be quoted so long as proper citation is provided with the quotation. Write: P.O. Box 75161, Washington, DC 20013. Phone: (540) 631-0380.

© 2006 Life Decisions International