



The Caleb Report

May-June 2010

Life Decisions International

vol. xix • no. 3

NEVER SATISFIED

Planned Parenthood Applauds The G8, But...

With one hand, the Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) praised the Group of Eight (G8) for its commitment to “improving the health of mothers, newborns and children worldwide...” With the other hand, PPFA slapped the G8 upside its collective head for excluding “access to safe abortion” from a list of recommendations dealing with maternal and child health. Yes, PPFA is upset that access to killing preborn babies was not part of the G8’s “maternal and child health” program.

The G8 addresses global issues such as security, health, development, and peace. G8 member states are Germany, Canada, Russia, France, Japan, Italy, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Two parts of the European Union (European Council and European Commission) also attend G8 summits. While in regular contact, the leaders meet in summit format as the G8 once every year. The 2010 meeting was hosted by Canada.

Named after the location of its signing, the 2010 summit culminated with the release of the Muskoka Declaration, which announced the Muskoka Initiative. Sections eight, nine, and ten are of particular interest to pro-life activists.



The Declaration makes reference to “Millennium Development Goals” (MDGs). Adopted by world leaders as part of a United Nations program in 2000 and set

to be attained by 2015, MDGs guide countries in their efforts to ensure that “human development” reaches every person on earth. The MDGs are: 1) end extreme poverty and hunger; 2) establish universal primary education; 3) promote gender equality and empower women; 4) reduce child mortality; 5) improve maternal health; 6) fight HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases; 7) ensure environmental sustainability; and 8) develop a Global Partnership for Development.

In the Declaration, number eight reads as follows (MDG numbers corresponded to those in the paragraph above):

Progress towards MDG 5...has been unacceptably slow. Although recent data suggests maternal mortality has been declining, hundreds of thousands of women still lose their lives every year, or suffer injury, from causes related to pregnancy and childbirth. Much of this could be

prevented with better access to strengthened health systems, and sexual and reproductive health care and services, including voluntary family planning. Progress on MDG 4...is also too slow. Nearly 9 million children die each year before their fifth birthday. These deaths profoundly concern us and underscore the need for urgent collective action. We reaffirm our strong support to significantly reduce the number of maternal, newborn and under five child deaths as a matter of immediate humanitarian and development concern. Action is required on all factors that affect the health of women and children. This includes addressing gender inequality, ensuring women's and children's rights and improving education for women and girls.

Number nine of the G8 Muskoka Declaration:

G8 members already contribute over US\$4.1 billion annually in international development assistance for maternal, newborn and under-five child health...[W]e, the Leaders of the G8, working with other Governments, several Foundations and other entities...endorse and launch the Muskoka Initiative, a comprehensive and integrated approach to accelerate progress towards MDGs 4 and 5 that will significantly reduce the number of maternal, newborn and under five child deaths in developing countries...Our collective undertaking will support strengthened country-led national health systems in developing countries, in order to enable delivery on key interventions along the continuum of care, i.e., pre-pregnancy...[through] early childhood.

In number ten the G8 pledged an *additional* \$5 billion over the next five years, but this is not all. “Support from the G8 is catalytic,” the Declaration reads. “We make our commitments with the objective of generating a greater collective effort by bilateral and multilateral donors, developing countries and other stakeholders to accelerate progress...” The G8 document announced that the governments of Norway, Spain, South Korea, Spain, New Zealand, Switzerland, and the Netherlands, have agreed to contribute. Moreover, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the United Nations Foundation will give an *additional* \$2.3 billion. Overall, between now and 2015, some \$10 billion will be released.

Okay, but PPFA leaders want to know where in the maternal, newborn and child health sections of the Muskoka Declaration they can find the words “access to safe abortion.” There is mention of birth control, most of which has at least one abortifacient component, but nothing is said about “access to safe abortion.” Uh oh.



Richards

“Women cannot achieve full equality around the world without access to comprehensive health care and family planning services, and the G8 has taken an important step forward to ensuring that access, but there is much more to do,” said PPFA President Cecile Richards.

“An estimated 20 million unsafe abortions occur each year around the world resulting in death for nearly 70,000 women and requiring medical treatment for millions more. While we heartily applaud the G8 for committing itself to family planning that will make it possible for millions of women to avoid unintended pregnancies, we must also address the devastating medical implications for the 20 million women who have unsafe and life-threatening abortions each year.”

Before continuing, it is important to keep three points in mind: 1) to PPFA, health care is not “comprehensive” unless it includes abortion; 2) PPFA routinely uses statistics it cannot back up (the Guttmacher Institute is *not* impartial); and 3) PPFA wants abortion to be “safe” rather than give women what they need so they can make a different choice.

“Childbirth or pregnancy-related causes kill hundreds of thousands of women each year, and almost all...are preventable,” PPFA claimed in press release. It also said many women face “obstacles” to “health care,” including “legal and financial barriers to family planning and abortion services.” PPFA alleged that 13 percent of pregnancy-related deaths are related to “unsafe abortions.”

PPFA demanded that the G8 “strengthen government accountability and ensure that women’s and children’s health needs are being addressed in each country.” This includes fighting or undermining laws passed by sovereign governments that protect preborn children.

Writing for the pro-abortion *Huffington Post*, Richards praised the Obama Administration for taking a “women- and girls-centered approach” to reforming global health programs. Praising Secretary of State Hillary Clinton for her leadership, Richards noted that, “The administration has proposed the largest budget ever for maternal and child health and family planning programs.”

Richards said G8 leaders “have come to understand...a principle upon which Planned Parenthood has always stood: women’s reproductive health care is a human right that knows no borders and is essential for the health and well-being of all people.” Well, this is apparently not true of every G8 leader. “There is no doubt the Muskoka Initiative, as this G8 commitment is known, is an important step forward. But there are many more steps to go,” she wrote. Here comes the whining:

While the commitment identifies family planning among the interventions important to the health of mothers, it does not fully embrace comprehensive reproductive health. Unfortunately, Canada’s Prime Minister Stephen Harper has repeatedly asserted that...maternal health efforts would not include abortion. As we know, *no effective maternal health improvements can occur without comprehensive reproductive health care, including access to safe and legal abortions...*If we are to do all we can to save women’s lives, access to safe abortion must be an essential component of any comprehensive maternal health initiative (emphasis added).

Preventing unintended pregnancies “saves money and... lives, especially by preventing the *need* for unsafe abortions (emphasis added),” Richards claimed. She also urged passage of the Global Democracy and Promotion Act (see “Seeking A Permanent Death” on page 6), which would permanently repeal the Mexico City Policy.

MISLEADING THE PUBLIC



As participants in the Corporate Funding Project know, some business officials have no qualms about lying to cover up support of Planned Parenthood. For example, in a May 2010 letter, Johnson & Johnson (J&J) said the company has not donated to Planned Parenthood in many years. LDI prepared and sent to J&J a list of five recent donations made to the controversial group. Our correspondence ended by saying, “We will need assurance from an authorized person that *no donations of any kind* will be made to Planned Parenthood *by any part of the Johnson & Johnson Family of Companies...*from this date forward.” A reply has not been received.

Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) says it has never made a donation to Planned Parenthood. “I believe you have the wrong CSC,” wrote Jimmy O. Jacobs, corporate senior director and vice president, in letters to LDI supporters. Jacobs said Computer Sciences Corporation had been mistaken for a group called “Childrens’ Services Council (CSC).”

“As you know, [CSC] is a boycott target due to its support of Planned Parenthood,” LDI said in a letter to Jacobs. “As you also know, we are *not* confusing Computer Sciences Corporation with another entity...despite what you have been telling people...” We enclosed a page from a Planned Parenthood annual report, which lists “Computer Sciences Corporation” as a donor, not simply “CSC.” (The *innocent* CSC would surely not like being accused of supporting Planned Parenthood by the *guilty* CSC.)

Once it became clear to CSC that its attempt to mislead pro-life activists would not fly, Jacobs acknowledged the donation to Planned Parenthood and agreed to keep it from happening again. CSC is no longer a boycott target.

Nike is using a form letter that includes false statements:

Nike currently does not work with Planned Parenthood. The Nike Foundation worked with Planned Parenthood in Brazil back in 2005 as a part of a larger award intended to help achieve the UN Millennium Development Goals.



The International Planned Parenthood Federation “Financial Statements 2007” lists Nike as a donor. The International Planned Parenthood Federation/World Hemisphere Region (IPPF/WHR) *Annual Report 2005/2006* lists Nike as a donor. The Planned Parenthood of Iowa (now Planned Parenthood of the Heartland) *2005-2006 Annual Report* lists Nike as a donor. And a page on the Nike Foundation website *currently* gives people an opportunity to support IPPF/WHR:

Want to change the world? Change a girl's life.

Investments in girls not only transform their lives, but also their families and communities. The Nike Foundation directly supports or has worked with the following extraordinary organizations in our four target countries (Bangladesh, Brazil, Ethiopia and Zambia) or beyond. Together we're helping to address the multiple needs of adolescent girls, from health care and education to human rights and economic empowerment.

To support the activities listed below, print out a copy of the coupon pages, cut out a coupon and mail it off with a check. To learn more about these organizations, or to make a general donation to them online, please click on the web links provided on each coupon and follow the directions available on each site.

The third coupon reads as follows:

\$65 buys a baby kit (a diaper bag filled with basic necessities) and prenatal consultation for a low-income, pregnant adolescent or adolescent parent in Brazil, and enables her to attend a short course on nutrition and health.

To donate, send this coupon with a check made payable to IPPF/WHR to:

International Planned Parenthood Federation/
Western Hemisphere Region
120 Wall Street, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10005-3902

Or visit www.ippfwhr.org [link is provided]

Do not be surprised. A corporation that will align itself with the agenda and fund the programs of a group that destroys the most innocent of all human life—a group that wants children to be seen as “sexual beings”—would certainly lie. After all, Planned Parenthood does it every day, which is to be expected since it was built upon a foundation of lies.

Many corporations continue to mislead the public. They understand most people will not question them. Sadly, many pro-lifers willingly accept the word of a company over LDI without bothering to ask for more information.

One corporation *not* lying to the public is Lauren Merkin Productions. Some pro-life activists who have written to the company received a card from Planned Parenthood acknowledging a donation from Lauren Merkin—made in the names of the pro-lifer.

A variation on “Pledge-A-Picket,” supporters of PPFA affiliates pledge a certain amount of money for every person picketing outside the local Planned Parenthood. The goal is to dissuade people from picketing because pro-life activists do not want Planned Parenthood to get more money, but Planned Parenthood has more money than it can count and picketing serves as a valuable public witness to what the group is really all about. Thoughtful letters to Merkin and prayer may cause her to have second thoughts. We need to write *more* letters and do *more* picketing, not less.

QUOTABLE QUOTE

“I think the people that demonstrate outside of our building are terrorists because they're trying to deny women access to what a woman is choosing for herself.”

- Char Wendel, CEO, Planned Parenthood of Collier County, Florida

PLANNED PARENTHOOD GETS FOUR STARS

The Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) is bragging about its rating from Charity Navigator (CN), the largest charity evaluator in the United States. It is designed to help people make decisions about which groups to support.

CN rates charities in two broad areas of financial health: 1) *Efficiency*—how responsibly it functions day-to-day; and 2) *Capacity*—how well positioned it is to sustain its programs over time. Charities are given an overall rating ranging from zero to four stars. CN has not come even close to evaluating every charity in the country but hopes to eventually do so. (LDI has not yet been evaluated.)



PPFA's boasting about getting four stars led us to take a closer look and the deadly group certainly did not score well across the board, getting a three-star Capacity Rating. Moreover, not every part of the huge entity did well. CN gave PPFA's Six Rivers, Southeast Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin affiliates an Overall Rating of just two-stars. The Overall Rating for PPFA's Metropolitan Washington (D.C.) affiliate was only one-star.

In order to allow you to compare pro-abortion and pro-life groups, LDI has prepared a chart which may be found on pages four and five. In an effort to give a more accurate picture, we used forms filed with the Internal Revenue Service to provide additional and updated information. All of the information is part of the public record.

RATINGS OF NONPROFIT GROUPS BY CHARITY NAVIGATOR (CN) & RELATED FINANCIAL DATA

Organization ¹	Fiscal Year	Overall Rating ²	Efficiency Rating ³	Capacity Rating ⁴	Revenue ⁵	Expenses ⁵	Net Assets ^{5,6}	Top Executive Compensation ⁷	Name of Top Executive
American Life League (ALL) ^{8, 25, 27, 29, 31}	2008	1	2	1	\$6.1	\$6.9	\$0.6	\$129,432	J. Brown
	2007	1	2	1	\$6.0	\$6.7	\$1.7		
	2006	1	1	1	\$7.3	\$6.6	\$2.3		
	2005	NA ³²	NA ³²	NA ³²	\$7.4	\$8.1	\$1.4		
Catholics for Choice (CC) ^{9, 25}	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$4.6	\$3.0	\$7.9	\$190,676	J. O'Brien
	2007	1	1	1	\$1.6	\$3.7	\$7.4		
	2006	3	4	2	\$4.2	\$2.8	\$10.0		
	2005	4	4	4	\$5.2	\$3.3	\$8.6		
Center for Reproductive Rights (CRR) ^{10, 24}	2008	3	1	1	\$8.9	\$8.7	\$15.1	\$246,656	N. Northrup
	2007	4	3	4	\$11.8	\$8.4	\$16.8		
	2006	2	2	3	\$9.6	\$7.8	\$13.4		
Concerned Women for America (CWA) ^{11, 24, 29}	2008-09	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$12.9	\$12.7	\$0.2	\$121,000	W. Wright
	2007-08	2	0	3	\$10.6	\$11.4	(\$0.1)		
	2006-07	1	1	1	\$10.6	\$11.0	\$0.7		
	2005-06	1	1	1	\$8.4	\$8.2	\$1.1		
Family Research Council (FRC) ²³	2007-08	4	4	4	\$14.7	\$14.0	\$4.5	\$209,776	A. Perkins
	2006-07	3	4	3	\$11.8	\$12.5	\$3.9		
	2005-06	3	4	2	\$10.9	\$10.4	\$4.6		
Gutmacher Institute (GI) ^{12, 23}	2008	4	3	4	\$16.2	\$12.6	\$24.7	\$264,916	S. Camp
	2007	3	2	4	\$16.2	\$12.0	\$22.5		
	2006	3	2	4	\$12.7	\$10.4	\$18.6		
Human Life International (HLI) ^{13, 25, 27}	2007-08	3	2	3	\$4.1	\$3.3	\$4.3	\$30,941	T. Euteneuer
	2006-07	4	3	4	\$3.2	\$3.3	\$3.7		
	2005-06	2	3	2	\$2.9	\$3.0	\$3.6		
International Planned Parenthood Federation-Western Hemisphere Region (IPPF) ^{14, 24}	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$16.9	\$27.2	\$39.0	\$276,643	C. Barroso
	2007	4	4	4	\$31.2	\$20.6	\$56.5		
	2006	4	4	4	\$21.4	\$20.3	\$44.8		
	2005	4	4	4	\$15.2	\$17.2	\$40.3		
Ipas (International Project Assistance Service) ²³	2007-08	4	4	4	\$34.2	\$31.9	\$11.2	\$200,000	E. Maguire
	2006-07	4	4	4	\$27.5	\$26.7	\$8.9		
	2005-06	4	4	4	\$21.1	\$20.2	\$8.1		
Life Decisions International (LDI) ^{15, 23, 26, 28, 30}	2009	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.0	\$24,000	D. Scott
	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.0		
	2007	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.0		
	2006	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.0		
Life Issues Institute (LII) ²³	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$0.9	\$1.2	\$1.3	\$80,000	B. Mattes
	2007	3	2	4	\$1.1	\$1.4	\$1.6		
	2006	3	2	4	\$1.2	\$0.9	\$1.0		
	2005	2	2	2	\$0.8	\$0.7	\$0.8		
NARAL Pro-Choice America/Foundation (NARAL) ^{16, 17, 24}	2007-08	1	1	1	\$15.6	\$16.5	\$2.1	\$250,000	N. Keenan
	2006-07	1	2	1	\$18.7	\$19.3	\$3.1		
	2005-06	1	1	1	\$17.2	\$18.2	\$3.6		
National Abortion Federation (NAF) ^{18, 24}	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$22.2	\$27.2	\$3.3	\$239,710	V. Saporta
	2007	4	4	4	\$9.8	\$4.0	\$8.4		
	2006	2	4	1	\$2.9	\$3.0	\$2.5		
	2005	3	4	2	\$3.6	\$2.8	\$2.7		
National Right to Life Committee/Educational Trust Fund (NRLC) ^{16, 19, 25, 27, 29}	2007-08	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$12.2	\$12.7	\$2.2	\$112,900	D. O'Steen
	2006-07	2	2	1	\$9.9	\$10.5	\$2.8		
	2005-06	2	3	1	\$10.7	\$11.4	\$3.3		
	2004-05	1	2	1	NA ³⁴	NA ³⁴	NA ³⁴		
Population Action International (PAI) ²³	2007-08	4	4	4	\$10.3	\$10.5	\$5.2	\$248,271	S. Ehlers
	2006-07	2	3	1	\$3.9	\$5.9	\$6.5		
	2005-06	2	3	1	\$6.2	\$5.0	\$8.6		
Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) ^{20, 25}	2008-09	4	3	4	\$106.4	\$84.6	\$96.4	\$374,518	C. Richards
	2007-08	2	3	2	\$85.8	\$69.3	\$87.7		
	2006-07	3	3	3	\$96.7	\$62.7	\$77.0		

RATINGS OF NONPROFIT GROUPS BY CHARITY NAVIGATOR (CN) & RELATED FINANCIAL DATA (continued)

Organization ¹	Fiscal Year	Overall Rating ²	Efficiency Rating ³	Capacity Rating ⁴	Revenue ⁵	Expenses ⁵	Net Assets ^{5,6}	Top Executive Compensation ⁷	Name of Top Executive
Population Research Institute (PRI) ²⁵	2007-08	2	1	3	\$1.0	\$0.9	\$0.0	\$104,090	S. Mosher
	2006-07	1	1	1	\$1.0	\$1.0	\$0.0		
	2005-06	1	0	2	\$.9	\$0.9	\$0.0		
Priests for Life (PL) ^{21, 25}	2008	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	NR ¹⁵	\$10.9	\$9.9	\$3.1	\$95,394	J. Morana
	2007	2	2	3	\$9.3	\$8.5	\$2.2		
	2006	3	2	4	\$10.1	\$9.1	\$1.3		
	2005	2	1	4	\$8.2	\$8.3	\$0.3		
Pro-Life Action League (PLAL) ^{22, 25, 27, 29}	2007-08	3	2	3	\$0.9	\$0.9	\$0.2	\$59,400	J. Scheidler
	2006-07	2	3	2	\$0.0	\$0.8	\$0.1		
	2005-06	3	2	3	\$0.0	\$0.8	\$0.1		
<i>Religious Coalition for Reproductive Choice/Educational Fund (RCRC)^{16, 24}</i>	2008	4	3	4	\$2.5	\$4.0	\$7.5	\$167,680	C. Veazey
	2007	4	3	4	\$8.2	\$3.7	\$9.0		
	2006	3	3	2	\$3.6	\$3.0	\$4.5		

NOTES/ITEMS OF INTEREST:

The names of pro-abortion groups are in italic type.

- ¹ Ratings & financial data apply to the national headquarters only; not the entire organization (affiliates, etc.). The names of pro-abortion groups are in italic.
- ² Overall Rating is derived by combining the Efficiency & Capacity Ratings.
- ³ Efficiency Rating is based on efficient & responsible operation on a day-to-day basis, including fund-raising efficiency & expenses, program expenses, & administrative expenses.
- ⁴ Capacity Rating is based on the group's ability to sustain its efforts over time & includes average annual growth of primary revenue, average annual growth of program expenses, & working capital ratio.
- ⁵ Figures represent millions of dollars (e.g., \$1.0 = \$1,000,000; e.g., \$0.5 = \$500,000). Numbers are rounded.
- ⁶ Net Assets is derived by subtracting the group's liabilities from its assets.
- ⁷ Top Executive Compensation includes actual or estimated income from related groups, but do not include employee benefits, such as retirement plans, clothing allowances, etc.
- ⁸ In 2008, ALL paid \$75,145 to Director R. Sassone, \$103,544 to Director S. Clark, \$77,933 to Director J. Pavlik, \$118,815 to Executive Director S. Kenney, & \$100,097 to Director of Accounting C. Priola. In addition, ALL paid \$1,275,232 to AKA Printing & Mailing Inc., a company in which P. Brown owns a controlling interest. In 2005, the Board of Directors gave property valued at \$600,000 to the group's President "in recognition of the President's past years of service when the position was underpaid." Consequently, the 2005 financial report lists the President's compensation at nearly \$700,000. The same financial report indicates that ALL "incurred fees totaling \$1,408,782 from Anthony, Kane & Associates, a firm in which Mr. Brown has a controlling interest." ALL paid Anthony, Kane & Associates nearly \$1.34 million in both 2006 & 2007, & almost \$1.3 million in 2008. ALL has paid to lease office space from P. Brown since 1991 (\$78,000 in 2006, 2007 & 2008). ALL also leased "the personal residence of the President & Executive Vice President on a month-to-month basis" (\$36,000 in 2008). The IRS has said ALL "engaged in excess benefits in the years prior to 2008," but the group disputes this statement.
- ⁹ CC paid \$483,960 to *former* president F. Kissling in 2007 & \$346,106 in 2008, as well as \$130,000 to Vice President S. Morello in 2008.
- ¹⁰ In 2008, CRR paid \$156,208 to Director of Development V. Lindermayer, \$148,069 to Director of Communications N. Goldfarb, \$127,502 to Director of U.S. Legal Program C. Soohoo, \$123,465 to Director of International Program L. Cabal, \$112,879 to Deputy Director of U.S. Legal Program J. Crepps, & \$111,177 to Director of Finance A. Lee.
- ¹¹ In 2008, CWA paid \$141,400 for Chief Financial Officer L. LaHaye, & \$26,000 for Chairman B. LaHaye.
- ¹² GI claims to be an "independent," "unbiased" research organization, yet CN rightly labeled it an "Advocacy" group. But CN wrongly labeled GI a "Civil Rights" group as well.
- ¹³ In 2008, HLI paid \$65,960 to Research Manager B. Clowes, \$64,838 to Development Manager B. Williams, & \$62,909 for International Network Manager J. Fusto.
- ¹⁴ In 2008, IPPF paid \$141,425 for Chief Financial Officer J. Dahms, \$127,033 to Director of Development & Public Affairs P. Laramie, & \$109,569 to Senior Government Advisor H. Arango.
- ¹⁵ CN has not (yet) rated the group for this Fiscal Year & in this category, but other information is provided as it is known.
- ¹⁶ Only this group's foundation or educational trust was rated by CN, but information for the related entity has been included. For example, Group X may also have a Group X Educational Trust Fund. Only the Trust Fund was rated by CN, but the financial data provided above is the result of merging figures from the Group X Educational Trust Fund with those of Group X. While technically two different groups, they are clearly related & are usually operated by the same personnel.
- ¹⁷ In 2008, NARAL paid \$145,538 to President N. Keenan, \$93,435 to Chief Operating Officer J. Ray, \$83,189 to Chief Financial Officer J. Botts, & \$132,627 Chief Foundation Officer L. Horowitz.
- ¹⁸ In 2008, NAF paid \$133,262 to Executive Vice President C. Mahoney.
- ¹⁹ In 2008, NRLC paid \$90,000 to Director J. Ragan, \$101,800 for Associate Executive Director D. St. Martin, \$39,775 to President W. Franz, \$81,000 to Director B. Johnston, & \$31,000 to Director R. Stenson.
- ²⁰ PPFA brags about its four-star rating, but it did not get four stars across the board; it received a three-star Capacity Rating. Moreover, not every part of the humongous entity did well. In the most recently issued ratings, PPFA's Six Rivers, Southeast Pennsylvania, & Wisconsin affiliates each received an Overall Rating of just two-stars. The Overall Rating for PPFA's Metropolitan Washington (D.C.) affiliate was only one star. Planned Parenthood Action Fund is not included in the financial data because it is not yet available for the 2008-2009 Fiscal Year.
- ²¹ In 2008, PL paid \$192,259 to *former* Vice Chairman of the Board & *former* Executive Director A. DeStefano. Also, when A. DeStefano was Executive Director he received a loan from the group in the amount of \$170,149 (\$170,878 was due at the end of the Fiscal Year). In the same year, he received compensation of \$192,256.
- ²² In 2008, PLAL paid \$72,839 to Communications Director E. Scheidler, & \$58,550 to Executive Director A. Scheidler.
- ²³ This group has a written donor privacy policy that it will not sell or trade donor information with another entity.
- ²⁴ The group will not sell or trade donor information with another entity if the donor specifically makes such a request.
- ²⁵ This group does not have a donor privacy policy.
- ²⁶ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to prohibit paid staff members from simultaneously serving on its Board of Directors.
- ²⁷ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to allow paid staff members to simultaneously serve on its Board of Directors or it does not have a Board of Directors.
- ²⁸ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to prohibit the hiring of family members by the staff or Board.
- ²⁹ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to allow the hiring of family members by the staff or Board.
- ³⁰ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to prohibit doing business with profit-making entities with which a member of the staff or Board is associated.
- ³¹ *Conflict of Interest Issue:* This group is known to allow doing business with profit-making entities with which a member of the staff or Board is associated.
- ³² This information was not available at the time this report was prepared.

THE PRAYER PROJECT

Please join us in daily prayer for the people who are active in the Pro-Abortion Movement and those who have not been strong in their claimed pro-life convictions.

May: activist **Jane Fonda**; June: Rep. **Bartholomew “Bart” Stupak**, D-Mich., who betrayed preborn children by supporting the Obama Health Care Plan; July: **Mavis Leno**, radically pro-abortion wife of Jay Leno; August: **Elena Kagan**, President Barack Hussein Obama II’s nominee to serve on the U.S. Supreme Court; and September: **Arizona Right to Life Political Action Committee** members who voted to back Senator John McCain even though his challenger is an unambiguously strong pro-lifer (see the Spring 2010 edition of *Special Reports*).

SEEKING A PERMANENT DEATH

The Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) is seeking to put an end to the ongoing battle over the Mexico City Policy. Unveiled by the Reagan Administration at the 1984 United Nations International Conference on Population in Mexico City (hence the name), the policy stated that U.S. funds could not go to foreign groups that: 1) commit abortions, 2) promote abortion, or 3) lobby foreign governments to weaken laws that protect preborn children. Planned Parenthood gave the policy a self-serving name—“the global gag rule.”

The Mexico City Policy was continued under the first Bush Administration, rescinded by the Clinton Administration, reinstated by the second Bush Administration, and rescinded by the Obama Administration. Nita Lowey, D-N.Y., has introduced the shrewdly named “Global Democracy Promotion Act” (as though it has something—anything at all—to do with advancing democracy) in the U.S. House of Representatives. Barbara Boxer, D-Calif., has introduced the bill in the U.S. Senate.

The stated purpose of HR 4879 is to “prohibit the application of certain restrictive eligibility requirements to foreign nongovernmental organizations with respect to the provision of assistance under part I of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961.” In other words, it would bring a permanent end to the Mexico City Policy.

HR 4879 begins with a list of “findings” (justifications):

- (1) It is a fundamental principle of American medical ethics and practice that health care providers should, at all times, deal honestly and openly with patients. Any attempt to subvert the private and sensitive physician-patient relationship would be intolerable in the United States and is an unjustifiable intrusion into the practices of health care providers when attempted in other countries.
- (2) Freedom of speech is a fundamental American value. The ability to exercise the right to free speech, which in-

cludes the ‘right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances’ is essential to a thriving democracy and is protected under the United States Constitution.

(3) The promotion of democracy is a principal goal of United States foreign policy and critical to achieving sustainable development. It is enhanced through the encouragement of democratic institutions and the promotion of an independent and politically active civil society in developing countries.

(4) Limiting eligibility for United States development and humanitarian assistance upon the willingness of a foreign nongovernmental organization to forgo its right to use its own funds to address, within the democratic process, a particular issue affecting the citizens of its own country directly undermines a key goal of United States foreign policy and would violate the United States Constitution if applied to United States-based organizations.

(5) Similarly, limiting the eligibility for United States assistance on a foreign nongovernmental organization’s willingness to forgo its right to provide, with its own funds, medical services that are legal in its own country and would be legal if provided in the United States constitutes unjustifiable interference with the ability of independent organizations to serve the critical health needs of their fellow citizens and demonstrates a disregard and disrespect for the laws of sovereign nations as well as for the laws of the United States.

The operative sections of the proposed law state that foreign nongovernmental groups:

(1) shall not be ineligible for such assistance solely on the basis of health or medical services including counseling and referral services, provided by such organizations with non-United States Government funds if such services do not violate the laws of the country in which they are being provided and would not violate United States Federal law if provided in the United States; and

(2) shall not be subject to requirements relating to the use of non-United States Government funds for advocacy and lobbying activities other than those that apply to United States nongovernmental organizations receiving assistance under part I of such Act.

It is a bill that PPFA ordered and the abortion empire would benefit more than any other entity. This is because Planned Parenthood was the only group that refused to accept U.S. funds rather than agree to the restrictions when the policy was in place. While Planned Parenthood claimed to be acting on principle, the bottom line is that it refused to accept money if it could not be used to kill. In that there can be no honor.

The Caleb Report, an official periodical of Life Decisions International (LDI), is published six times per year. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of every LDI Partner or members of its Board of Directors/Advisors or staff. This publication may be copied so long as the appropriate citation(s) are included. It may be quoted so long as proper acknowledgement(s) are provided. Write: **P.O. Box 439, Front Royal, VA 22630-0009 (USA)**. Phone: **(540) 631-0380**. Many past issues of *The Caleb Report* are available at: www.fightpp.org.

© 2010 Life Decisions International